DIGITALES ARCHIV

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Humbatova, Sugra Ingilab; Gasimov, Ragif Kh.; Hajiyev, Natig Gadim-Oglu

Article

The impact of oil factor on Azerbaijan economy

Provided in Cooperation with: International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy (IJEEP)

Reference: Humbatova, Sugra Ingilab/Gasimov, Ragif Kh. et. al. (2019). The impact of oil factor on Azerbaijan economy. In: International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy 9 (4), S. 381 - 387. http://econjournals.com/index.php/ijeep/article/download/8001/4468.

This Version is available at: http://hdl.handle.net/11159/5072

doi:10.32479/ijeep.8001.

Kontakt/Contact ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics Düsternbrooker Weg 120 24105 Kiel (Germany) E-Mail: *rights[at]zbw.eu* https://www.zbw.eu/econis-archiv/

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

https://zbw.eu/econis-archiv/termsofuse

Terms of use:

This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence.





Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics



International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy

ISSN: 2146-4553

available at http://www.econjournals.com

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 2019, 9(4), 381-387.



The Impact of Oil Factor on Azerbaijan Economy

Sugra Ingilab Humbatova¹, Ragif Kh. Gasimov², Natig Qadim–Oglu Hajiyev^{3*}

¹Department of Economy and Managements, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Istiqlaliyyat Str. 6, Baku 1001, Azerbaijan, ²Department of Economy and Business Administration, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Baku 1001, Azerbaijan, ³Department of Regulation of Economy, Azerbaijan State University of Economics (UNEC), Istiqlaliyyat Str. 6, Baku 1001, Azerbaijan. *Email: n.qadjiev2012@yandex.ru

Received: 07 March 2019

Accepted: 14 May 2019

DOI: https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.8001

ABSTRACT

The paper examines the role of oil in the world economy and its impact on Azerbaijan economy. The reciprocal relations between factors in research were carried out by differential model of time series and times series have been checked whether they are unit root (Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF), Phillips-Perron (PP) and Kwiatkowski-Phillips-Schmidt-Shin (KPSS) as a methodology of the research. The research focused on the econometric analysis through traditional methods and statistics like EVIEWS 9, GRETL, PASW Statistics. The results confirm that the formation of demand and supply didn't happen in the world market during 15-20 years. The relationships between oil prices and demand and supply prove the novelty of the research. The impact of oil price fluctuations on "economic concept" was high in 2007-2009 and 2014-2016. The practical importance of the article is the employment of the income – generated from "the contract of the century" – for the human development.

Keywords: Economic Development, Oil Prices, Econometric Analysis, Functional Dependence, Macroeconomic Indicators JEL Classifications: E31, F31, Q41

1. INTRODUCTION

World Oil market is still in the centre of attentions in the modern world (Bataa et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2015). Thus, it is the energy driven factor of world economics, stock market, oil exporting and importing countries' economics, exchange rate and etc. (Baffes, 2007; Jadidzadeh and Serletis, 2017). Modern oil market is featured in developing dynamics and it is related to the increase of consumption and world production. During 2005-2015, oil consumption and production increased 12.1% and 11.9% respectively. Besides, there is unstability in the world oil market. This case was observed seriously in 2008 and 2014-2016.

Average oil price was 43.55 dollar/barrel in 2016. This is the lower indicator than in 2016. However, it was 38.1 dollar/barrel in 2004. Having low prices was related to geopolitical issues. War and conflicts in the Near East, economic sanctions to Russia and

etc. For the purpose of making the balance in the world oil market, oil exporters embarked on negotiations to impose a quota on oil production in February 2016 and in December, they concluded the agreement with "freezing". During that period, oil price had been 50 dollars. Oil price had been 53-57 dollar/barrel at the beginning of December. Oil market affects on the world economy and other energy driven factors. Although it is expected to be reduced in the near future, oil governs the world economics and policy now.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Ghalayini (2011) researched the fluctuation of oil prices and concluded that price shocks affected macroeconomic indicators through different channels. Geopolicital doubts and certain market dissessions paved the way to mercenaries and speculative resources to turn out in the world oil market. In turn, it caused the increase of prices for a short period again

This Journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

(ADB, 2004). Another economists Hamilton (1983) and Bruno and Sachs (1982), who researched the fluctuation of oil prices, explained the impact of oil prices on economic development, unstability of financial growth and inflation in 1950-1979 in the United Kingdom. They came to a conclusion that variables were closely connected to each other. Thus, fluctuations affect large economies unconstructively. The increase of oil prices causes the increase of prices in economy and reduces employment and productivity (Dornbusch et al., 2001).

Besides, it has been concluded that oil price interconnects with the legislation of local authorities (Siddiqui. 2005). The increase of oil prices causes the inflation to go up and to reduce the profit generated from products and services and weakens economic development. Every government faces this problem when they want to increase oil prices (Nooreen et al., 2007).

Oil prices have a huge influence on the world economy but it is hard to determine because they are different for each country (Arezki and Blanchard, 2015, Barsky and Kilian, 2004). Michael and Menzie (2004) has concluded that impact of energy resources on economy is completely different from other resources. He claimed that inflation is directly influenced by oil prices. Besides, Turkish scholars Hakan and Taşçı (2002), Aydoğuş (1993), Olgun (1982) researched the influence of oil prices on Turkey, inflation and economic development. They concluded that salary and other factors such as profit, interest rate and rent must be regulated on the basis of oil prices and level of current prices.

Study	Period and Country/Region	Methodology	Results of the study
Guglielmo et al. (2015)	China, 1997M1-2014M2	VAR–GARCH–in–mean model, VAR DCC–GARCH– in–mean model	Exchange rates in the stock market depend on the world oil price positively
Siok et al. (2015)	China, 1980-2010	ARDL Model	World oil prices influence on the main determinants of inflation in less dependent sectors of oil – on real exchange rates direct, on production expenditures of exporters indirect. It is recommended to reduce the effects of these shocks through exchange policy
Fatih and Fethi (2016)	Turkey, 2004-2014	VAR model	Oil prices influence to the production prices of oil twice more than retail ones
Seyhun and Demezca (2015)	Turkey, 2001M2-2011M7	Kejriwal – Perron (2009) test results, cointegration	Oil prices directly influence on exchange rates
Lanouar, et al. (2018)	USA,1947Q2-2016Q4	AR (p)–GARCH	Oil prices impact little on economic development. The impact is non–linear and not constant
Sakib (2014)	Bangladesh	Real business cycle (RBC) model, dynamic stochastic general equilibrium (DSGE) analysis. Impulse Response Functions (IRFs)	Oil prices are not the main factors of business cycle of economics
Nooreen et al. (1998)	Pakistan, 1998M3-2005M12	GARCH, Granger causality test	There is no strong relations between oil prices and stock market. The reason for this is the utilisation of gas beside oil
Umut et al. (2013)	Turkey, 1991M1-2010M2	Fully modified OLS (FM– OLS), dynamic OLS (DOLS) cointegration	There is a long–term and direct relationship among exchange rates and oil prices as well as exchange rates of securities (stock market)
Osigwe and Arawomo (2015)	Nigeria	The Granger causality test within an ECM framework was used to estimate the inter–linkage among the variables	There is no relations between kerosene prices and consumption and economic development. There is a positive relation between energy consumption and economic development
Foudeh (2017)	Kingdoms of Saudi Arabia, 1995Q4-2015Q4	ARDL model	Oil prices impact on GDP positively. Trade balance, budget, internal economics, gold–currency reserves, foreign investment are directly related to oil prices. It directly impacts on the main determinant of economics – government expenditures
Theodosios and Dagoumas (2017)	Russian, 1995-2014	Two vector autoregressions (VARs) and VECM	Macroeconomic indicators such as – industry production index, unemployment, GDP, government expenditures depend on oil factors like oil price and oil production but there is no evidence for Dutch disease
Elsiddig et al. (2016)	Sudan, 2000Q1-2011Q2.	ARDL, VAR model, Granger causality test	There is an adverse relation between oil prices and macroeconomic indicators of developed countries. However, it affects underdeveloped countries like Sudan directly. The reduction of oil prices makes the oil prices down, cut down current prices and government budget. But the increase of oil price doesn't impact on budget resurgence (Granger causality). Oil prices affect the budget asymmetrically

Study	Period and Country/Region	Methodology	Results of the study
Aynur (2016)	OESD,1995-2013.	Panel VECM, Granger causality tests, cointegration	There is cointegration among economic development, energy consumption, employment and capital. There is relations between economic development and energy consumption in a short period (VECM). However, there is no relations between economic development and energy consumption in a long term
Nasser et al. (2016)	Oman 1980-2012	Simple macroeconomic model, Regression analysis	Oil sector influences on GDP and all sectors of economy positively. The strongest impact happens in gas sector but the weakest in agrarian sector. Economics is far away comprehensive development
Yusoff and Bekhet (2016)	Malaysian 1990-2013	Furthermore, on the basis of the standardised CGE model developed by Lofgren et al. (2002), an energy subsidies CGE (ESCGE) model was established. Model of the general balance (MGB) constant elasticity of substitution (CES)	Fuel and tax subsidies have a strong influence on energy consumption structure. Reduction in fuel and tax subsidies will reduce energy consumption and will stimulate alternative energy sources. This will cause the reduction of budget shortcoming and will increase GDP
Aziz and Dahalan (2015)	ASEAN–5 Malaysia Indonesia and Singapore Thailand and Philippines. 1991-2012	Panel regressions analysis	Oil price fluctuations impact on RSCA negatively in all technological processes
Bass (2019)	Russian, 2010-2017	VECM, Granger causality tests, cointegration	The influence of world oil price fluctuations on inflation and exchange rate in Russia has been examined. World oil price, inflation and exchange rate is in the cointegration. Oil price and exchange rate are one of the factors that cause inflation
Mourad and	WORLD, 1990M1-2017M11	Linear and Nonlinear ARDL	Oil price changes directly affect food prices in
Ben-Salha (2019) Kilian (2009)	USA 1973M1-2007M12	modeling The structural VAR model	global scale Cause effect reactions between macroeconomic indicators and oil price has been changed. Different reasons of oil price increase affect differently to economics
Ghalayini (2011)	OPEC, G–7, Russian, India and China, 2000Q1-2010Q1	Granger Causality tests	The increase of oil price for oil exporting countries doesn't influence on their economic development. However, the dependence of G–7 countries on oil reduce their GDP a bit
Hamilton (1983)	USA 1947-1981	Granger Causality tests	The correlation between oil price and produced products is only statically compliance. There is no systematics case
Bruno and Sachs (1982)	United Kingdom 1950-1979	Macroeconomics analysis	Oil price shocks directly affect to production and its
Hakan and Taşçı (2002)	Turkey1990	Input–output analysis	If Salary, rent, profit are stable, the oil price increase cause inflation not much. However, oil price
Mathew and Ngalawa (2017)	1980M1-2015M4	PSVAR	becomes string if it changes according to oil price and even causes hyperinflation Oil price shocks directly affect African oil exporting countries economy via currency–credit system, unemployment, exchange rate and etc., It stimulates business. There is a correlation between GDP and
Hamilton (2005)	USA 1949Q2-1980Q4	OLS regression	oil price After second world war, 9/10 downsizing had been
Kilian (2009)	USA 1947-2005	The fitted value of the linear ordinary least squares (OLS)	Oil shock impact is asymmetric
Michael and Menzie (2004)	USA, United Kingdom, France, Germany and Japan. 1980-2005	ARDL, Fillips curve	European countries and Japan have been affected more than the USA by oil shocks since they are dependent on oil prices. Generally, it wasn't strong impact
Hamilton (2010)	USA 1949Q2-2001Q3	OLS to estimate the forecasting regression, impulse-response functions.	This paper reviews some of the literature on the macroeconomic effects of oil price shocks with a particular focus on possible nonlinearities in the relation and recent new results obtained by Kilian and Vigfusson (2009)

Study	Period and Country/Region	Methodology	Results of the study
Apergis and	USA Austria, Canada,	SVAR	Oil price fluctuations don't impact on stock market
Miller (2009)	France, Germany, Italy, Japan, England		significantly
Basher and	BRIC (Russian, Brazil, India,	CAPM	Russian and Brazilian stock market is specifically
Sadorsky (2006)	China)		active. India and China are witnessed the adverse
			effect. It is related with their strong influence to
			international economics
Filis et al. (2011)	Canada, Mexico, Brazil,		They have revealed direct relationship in all cases.
	USA, Germany, Niderland		Besides, it has been determined that the correlation
	1987M1-2009M12		is increasing related to the market of developed
			countries
Li et al. (2012)	China 2001M1-2005M10,	Cointegration and causal	The dependency between oil price and stock market
	2005M11-2007M06	analysis	was determined as direct and straight

GDP: Gross domestic product

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In the research, world GDP, world industry production, daily oil production and including oil price have been generated by internet resources. Azerbaijan macroeconomic indicators have been taken from Azerbaijan State Statistics Committee.

For econometric analysis, we have used simple and complex regressions:

$$\hat{y} = a + bx \tag{1}$$

Simple regression function includes:

$$0,7 < |xxy| \le 1,n \ge 6 \tag{2}$$

$$y = a + b_1 x_1 + b_2 x_2 + \dots + b_m x_m$$
(3)

Complex regression function includes:

$$0, 3 < \left| r_{x_k y} \right| \le 1; \left| r_{x_k x_l} \right| < \min\left(\left| r_{x_k y} \right|, \left| r_{x_l y} \right| \right),$$

$$\forall k \neq l, \forall k, l = \overline{1, m; n \ge 6m}$$

$$(4)$$

Simple linear regression function is used in terms of the simplicity of economic significance of the model.

So, the more the regression function is complex, the more complex is the parameter.

In case of the lack of information, having more regression parameters is statistically important or causes the low quality of the model by criteria. We have established models by using special econometric computer programs.

The smallest square method has been used for the calculation of linear regression function parameters. It is required to choose regression parameters carefully:

$$S(a,b_{1},b_{2},\cdots,b_{m}) = \sum_{i} (y_{i} - \hat{y}_{i})^{2}$$

= $\sum_{i} \begin{pmatrix} y_{i} - a - b_{1}x_{i1} \\ -b_{2}x_{i2} - \cdots - b_{m}x_{im} \end{pmatrix}^{2} \rightarrow min$ (5)

The following parameters are required for the quality of regression model:

- 1. General importance is verified: $F \ge Fa; m, n-m_{-1}$
- 2. The importance of regression function parameters is verified: $|t| \ge t1-a; n-m-1$)
- 3. The verification of the smallest square method.

However, since time series are mostly non-stationary the employment of the ordinary least squares (OLS) method might cause fake linear dependency among variables. The probability is high among our time series, that's why we can only mention three of them:

1. Let's insert the lag order inputs (indicators) on the right side of $y_t = \alpha + \beta xt$ equation.

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta_{xt} + \gamma y_{t-1} + \delta x_{t-1} + u_t \tag{6}$$

Here, u_t – is stasionary series while x_t – is an exogen indicator.

We can establish this equation in 2 forms:

a.
$$y_t = \alpha + \gamma y_{t-1} + \beta \Delta_{xt} + (\beta + \delta) x_{t-1} + u_t$$
(7)

b.
$$y_t = \alpha + \gamma y_{t-1} + (\beta + \delta) x_t - \delta \Delta x_t + u_t$$
 (8)

In both cases, integrated $y_t \sim I(1)$ stands here. β zero – on the right side of the equation is the coefficient of Δx_t stationary variable. $y_{t-1}, x_{t-1} \sim I(1), u_t$ is stationary series. Sims et al., (1990) indicated in his article that the employment of the ordinary least squares method is important for the coefficient of the equation. β is normal unless it is asymptotic. The usual t– statisticts possesses asymptotic normal distribution N(0,1) in order to check H₀: β =0 hypoteses. Analogically, δ on the right side of the equation is the coefficient of Δx_t stationary variable $y_{t-1}, x_{t-1} \sim I(1), u_t$ is stasionary series. That's why δ is normal unless it is asymptotic. The usual t– statisticts possesses asymptotic normal distribution N(0,1) in order to check H0: β =0 hypoteses.

2. Prior to model assessment, lets differentiate series, in other word, lets analyse the model in series difference.

$$\Delta y_t = \alpha + \beta \Delta x_t + u_t \tag{9}$$

In that case, we can see that in equation u_t is stasionary series. In this model, the assessment of the ordinary least squares for either α or β is normal unless it is asymptotic. If u_t white noise, then both *t*- statisticts possess asymptotic normal distribution N(0,1).

3. Using autocorrelation regression model for assessment.

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta x_t + u_t, u_t = \rho x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, u_t \sim i.i.d.N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$$
(10)

In case of fake regression $\hat{\rho} \rightarrow 1$ (on probabbility). That's why, in case T is higher, this method equals to primary differentiation method of series. We will employ the second method – primary differentiation method of series.

4. EMPIRICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

First of all, the stationary of time series has been checked and tested though commonly–accepted three tests (ADF – Augmented Dickey–Fuller, PP – Phillips–Perron and KPSS – Kwiatkowski–Phillips–Schmidt–Shin). Tests have been done through EVIEWS 9 econometric program (Table 1).

Abbrevia	tions	
WGDP	World gross domestic product,	mln. dollar
	dollar	
WIP	Industrial production, dollar	mln. dollar
WPP	World production, barrel	mln.barrel per a day
WCP	World consumption, barrel	mln.barrel per a day
PB	Oil prices	\$/Barrel
AZGDP	Azerbaijan gross domestic	mln. manat
	product, manat	
AZIFC	Azerbaijan investment on fixed	mln. manat
	capital, manat	
AZOP	Azerbaijan oil production, ton	mln. ton
AZETT	Azerbaijan external a trade	mln. dollar
	turnover, dollar	
AZIM	Azerbaijan, import, dollar	mln. dollar
AZEX	Azerbaijan, export, dollar	mln. dollar

ADF reveals that world GDP, world industry production, oil prices, world oil production (supply) and world oil consumption (demand) are in the 1st difference and stationary in three cases (constant; constant and linear trend; none). Only world GDP in

Table 1: The unit room	test results	(1 st difference)
------------------------	--------------	------------------------------

the 1st difference is not stationary in one case (none). This result is suitable for the method. The results of PP is similar to ADF test, but is unclear a bit. Thus, world GDP and oil process are stationary (none) both in 1st difference and in simple case. KPSS test is also unclear. The above–mentioned facts might be referred to time series tests of Azerbaijan macroeconomic indicators.

The coefficients of only two of the models (models 1 and 2) that reflect the impact of World GDP, world industry production, daily oil production (supply) and oil consumption (demand) on oil price are statistically significant (Table 2). In other words, world GDP and world industry production influence on world oil prices. It can be inferred that model 3 and 4 has no any impact of world daily oil production (supply) and oil consumption (demand) on world oil prices. Thus, the coefficients are not statistically significance. According to the Breusch-Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test, autocorrelation in models doesn't exist. Autocorrelation exists only in model 3. So, we can infer that although world industry production plays a certain role in world oil price fluctuation and world GDP, daily oil production (supply) and oil consumption (demand) don't impact on world oil price. As mentioned in the beginning of the research, non-economic factors play a role in oil price fluctuations (up and down).

The models (model 5-8) reflecting the dependency of investment on fixed assets on oil price and oil production in Azerbaijan happens the adverse process (Table 3). So, model 5 and 7 either constant or oil price coefficient is statically significance. Generally, model is significant and adequate. However, model 6 and 8 (models that reflect the dependency of oil price on Azerbaijan GDP and investment on fixed capital) are not statistically significance (reflecting oil production coefficient in Azerbaijan) and generally, models are not adequate. It gives an evidence once more that Azerbaijan's GDP and investment on fixed capital depends entirely on the oil price and does not depend on the volume of oil production in Azerbaijan (mainly in the short–term). According to the Breusch–Godfrey Serial Correlation LM Test, autocorrelation has been active in model 5.

Variables		Constant		С	onstant and tren	No	None		
	ADF	РР	KPSS	ADF	РР	KPSS	ADF	РР	
PB	-4.10***	-4.10***	0.23	-4.33**	-4.50**	0.16**	-4.16***	-4.16***	
WGDP	-3.71**	-3.67**	0.13	-3.32*	-3.59*	0.12*	-0.75	-2.423**	
WIP	-3.76**	-3.73**	0.15	-3.71**	-3.67*	0.12*	-3.12***	-3.12***	
WCP	-3.95***	-4.00***	0.12	-3.82**	-3.83**	0.11	-2.35**	-2.30**	
WPP	-5.33***	-5.58**	0.09	-5.15***	-5.49***	0.09	-3.05***	-3.05***	
PB	-3.52**	-3.48**	0.17	-3.64*	-4.24**	0.17	-3.59***	-3.56***	
AZGDP	-3.33**	-3.26**	0.12	-3.22	-3.09	0.11	-2.08**	-1.97**	
AZIFC	-3.61**	-3.59**	0.11	-3.53*	-3.51*	0.10	-2.63**	-2.63**	
AZOP	-1.77	-1.76	0.25	-2.08	-2.06	0.09	-1.77*	-1.77*	
AZETT	-6.47***	-6.78***	0.19	-6.51***	-7.29***	0.23***	-6.59***	-6.85***	
AZEX	-6.69***	-7.02***	0.16	-6.71***	-7.70***	0.09	-6.88***	-7.23***	
AZIM	-4.48***	-4.48***	0.16	-4.67***	-4.69*	0.11	-3.83***	-3.86***	

ADF denotes the Augmented Dickey–Fuller single root system respectively. The maximum lag order is 3. The optimum lag order is selected based on the Shwarz criterion automatically; ***, ** and *indicate rejection of the null hypotheses at the 1%, 5% and 10% significance levels respectively. The critical values are taken from MacKinnon (Mackinnon, 1996). PP Phillips–Perron is single root system. The optimum lag order in PP test is selected based on the Newey–West criterion automatically; ***, ** and *indicate rejection of the null hypotheses at the 1%, 5% and 10% significance levels respectively. The critical values are taken from MacKinnon, 1996). KPSS denotes Kwiatkowski–Phillips–Schmidt–Shin (Kwiatkowski *et al.*, 1992) single root system. The optimum lag order in KPSS test is selected based on the Newey–West criterion automatically; ***, ** and *indicate rejection of the null hypotheses at the 1%, 5% and 10% significance levels respectively. The critical values are taken from MacKinnon, (Mackinnon, 1996). KPSS denotes Kwiatkowski–Phillips–Schmidt–Shin (Kwiatkowski *et al.*, 1992) single root system. The optimum lag order in KPSS test is selected based on the Newey–West criterion automatically; ***, ** and *indicate rejection of the null hypotheses at the 1%, 5% and 10% significance levels respectively. The critical values are taken from Kwiatkowski–Phillips–Schmidt–Shin [90]. Assessment period: 1999-2017*

Table 2: The depend	ency of oil	price on the world	GDP, industry	production,	demand and	l supply for oil
---------------------	-------------	--------------------	---------------	-------------	------------	------------------

Variables	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 4
	$\Delta \mathbf{PB}$	ΔPB	∆PB	ΔΡΒ
ΔWGP	0.005***			
ΔWCP			3.389	
ΔWIP		0.016***		
ΔWPP				1.292
С	-10.949***	-6.10***	-1.302	1.028
\mathbb{R}^2	0.776	0.847	0.033	0.009
Adj. R ²	0.763	0.837	-0.023	-0.049
F–st.	59.002	93.717	0.592	0.158
Pr.(F-st.)	0.000001	0.000000	0.452	0.696
D-W st.	1.633	1.999	1.992	2.038
Breusch–Godfrey serial correlatio	n LM test			
F-st.	0.229	0.099	0.043	0.084
Obs* R ²	0.555	0.247	0.110	0.211
Pr. F (2,15)	0.800	0.906	0.957	0.919
Pr. Chi-square (2)	0.757	0.883	0.946	0.899

*P<0.05; **P<0,01; ***P<0.001 GDP: Gross domestic product

Table 5. The influence of on price and on production on GDT and investment on fixed capit	Table 2	3:	The	infl	uence	e of	oil	price	and	oil	l production	on	GDP	and	investment	on	fixed	capit
---	---------	----	-----	------	-------	------	-----	-------	-----	-----	--------------	----	-----	-----	------------	----	-------	-------

			-				-			
Variables	Model 5	Model 6	Model 7	Model 8	Model 9	Model 10	Model 11	Model 12	Model 13	Model
	∆AZGDP	∆ AZGDP	ΔAZIFC	AAZIFC	∆AZIM	∆AZIM	AAZEX	∆AZEX	AAZETT	∆AZETT
ΔΡΒ	203.78***		55.95***		35.67**		563.02***		598.67***	
ΔAZOP		0.03		-0.10		-0.03		-0.47		-0.50
С	3399.77***	3808.22**	845.30***	1114.83	350.87	477.60	-538.58	1375.83	-187.69	1848.57
\mathbb{R}^2	0.77	0.0006	0.62	0.09	0.42	0.01	0.59	0.02	0.61	0.02
Adj. R ²	0.69	-0.07	0.59	0.03	0.39	-0.05	0.56	-0.05	0.59	-0.03
F-st.	37.77	0.009	24.08	1.55	12.03	0.25	22.51	0.34	24.93	0.35
Pr.(F-st.)	0.00001	0.92	0.0001	0.23	0.003	0.61	0.0002	0.56	0.0001	0.55
D-W st.	0.69	1.77	1.41	1.77	3.22	2.15	2.66	2.80	2.69	2.77
Breusch-Godf	rey serial corr	elation LM t	est							
F-st.	8.97	0.69	0.77	0.25	4.97	0.25	1.36	1.50	1.52	1.25
Obs* R ²	9.86	1.59	1.77	0.61	7.47	0.63	2.92	3.17	3.22	2.77
Pr. F (2,15)	0.003	0.52	0.49	0.77	0.02	0.77	0.29	0.25	0.29	0.31
Pr.	0.007	0.45	0.42	0.77	0.02	0.77	0.231	0.20	0.19	0.25
Chi-square (2)										

*P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001. GDP: Gross domestic product

The macroeconomic indicators of the Azerbaijani manat and the models (models 9, 11 and 13) expressed in figures from the models reflecting the influence of oil prices on macroeconomic indicators in Azerbaijan (model 9-14) are statistically significant and the models are adequate. However, these indicators are expressed in models that are dependent on oil prices (models 9,11 and 13) but macroeconomic indicators are statistically significant, and the constants are negligible. Thus, the results of these models (model 9-14) once again prove that the relationship between oil prices and many macroeconomic indicators is different in oil exporting and oil importing countries.

5. CONCLUSION

The reasoning of models either economic or mathematical point of view can closely be related to the relative proximity of the economic growth rate with oil production and price rate. Unlike world economic situation, as noted above, there is no absolute dependency close to between world oil production and consumption as well as the relative dependency among world oil production, consumption and world GDP and in general, dependency between oil price and these factors (world oil production, consumption and world GDP), especially in the last decade. That's why our Azerbaijan also witnesses the reverse processes. Although economic growth and demand act as an important factor in the world oil price, it can be inferred that the economic growth observed in Azerbaijan, one of the world's smallest exporter of oil production in the world, is largely dependent on oil production and oil prices.

REFERENCES

- ADB. (2004), Asian Development Outlook 2004. Philippines: Asian Development Bank.
- Apergis, N., Miller, M.M. (2009), Do structural oil market shocks affect stock prices? Energy Economics, 31(4), 569-575.
- Arezki, R., Blanchard, O. (2015), The Oil Price Slump: Seven Key Questions. CEPR Discussion Paper, VOX CEPR Policy Portal.
- Aydoğuş, O. (1993), Cost Price Relationship, Price Settings in Sectors and Inflation in Turkish Economy. 3rd Izmir Economic Conference, 3, 4-7 June 1992. Ankara: SPO.
- Aynur, P. (2016), Which energy growth hypothesis is valid in OECD countries? Evidence from panel granger causality. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 6(1), 28-34.

Aziz, M.I.A., Dahalan, J. (2015), The impacts of oil price fluctuations on

revealed comparative advantage of manufacturing commodities for ASEAN-5 economies. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 5(4), 1098-1108.

- Baffes, J. (2007), Oil spills on other commodities. Resources Policy, 32(3), 126-134.
- Barsky, R.B., Kilian, L. (2004), Oil and the macroeconomy since the 1970s. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 18, 115-134.
- Basher, S.A., Sadorsky, P. (2006), Oil price risk and emerging stock markets. Global Finance Journal, 17, 280-292.
- Bass, A. (2019), Do oil shocks matter for inflation rate in Russia: An empirical study of imported inflation hypothesis. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 9(2), 288-294.
- Bataa, E., Izzeldin, M., Osborn, D.R. (2016), Changes in the global oil market. Energy Economics, 56, 161-176.
- Bruno, M., Sachs, J. (1982), Input price shocks and the slowdown in economic growth: The case of UK Manufacturing. Review of Economic Studies, 49, 679-706.
- Dornbusch, R., Fischer, S., Startz, R. (2001), Macroeconomics. 8th ed. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Elsiddig, R., Perera, N., Tan, K. (2016), Impact of oil price shocks on Sudan's government budget. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 6(2), 243-248.
- Fatih, A., Fethi, Ö. (2016), Pass through of crude oil prices at different stages in Turkey. Central Bank Review, 16, 41-51.
- Filis, G., Degiannakis, S., Floros, C. (2011), Dynamic correlation between stock market and oil prices: The case of oil importing and oil exporting countries. International Review of Financial Analysis, 20, 82-94.
- Foudeh, M. (2017), The long run effects of oil prices on economic growth: The case of Saudi Arabia. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 7(6), 171-192.
- Ghalayini, L. (2011), The interaction between oil price and economic growth. Middle Eastern Finance and Economics, 13, 127-141.
- Guglielmo, M.C., Ali, F.M., Spagnolo, N. (2015), Oil price uncertainty and sectoral stock returns in China: A time varying approach. China Economic Review, 34, 311-321.
- Hakan, B., Taşçı, H. (2002), Inflationary effect of crude oil prices in Turkey. Physica A, 316, 568-580.
- Hamilton, J.D. (1983), Oil and the macroeconomy since World War II. Journal of Political Economy, 91, 228-248.
- Hamilton, J.D. (2005), Oil and the Macroeconomy. San Diego: University of California.
- Hamilton, J.D. (2010), Nonlinearities and the Macroeconomic Effects of Oil Prices, July 2010. Working Paper, No. 16186.
- Jadidzadeh, A., Serletis, A. (2017), How does the U.S. natural gas market react to demand and supply shocks in the crude oil market? Energy Economics, 63, 66-74.
- Kejriwal, M., Perron, P. (2009) Testing for Multiple Structural Changes in Cointegrated Regression Models. Purdue University Economics Working Papers, No. 1216.
- Kilian, L. (2009), Not all oil price shocks are alike: Disentangling demand and supply shocks in the crude oil market. American Economic Review, 99(3), 1053-1069.
- Kilian, L., Vigfusson, R.J. (2009), Are the responses of the U.S. economy asymmetric in energy price increases and decreases? Quantitative Economics, 2, 419-453.
- Kilian, L. (2018), Exogenous oil supply shocks: How big are they and how much do they matter for the U.S. economy? Review of Economics and Statistics, 90(2), 216-240.
- Kwiatkowski, D., Phillips, P., Schmidt, P., Shin, Y. (1992), Testing the null hypothesis of stationarity against the alternative of a unit root: How sure are we that economic time series have a unit root? Journal of Econometrics, 54, 159-178.

Lanouar, C., Klein, T., Walther, T. (2018), Oil Price Changes and U.S. Real

GDP Growth: Is this Time Different? Working Paper Version 2.0. p1.

- Li, S.F., Hui-Ming, Z., Keming, Y. (2012), Oil prices and stock market in China: A sector analysis using panel cointegration with multiple breaks. Energy Economics, 34, 102-134.
- Liu, W.M., Schultz, E., Sweringa, J. (2015), Price dynamics in global crude oil markets. The Journal of Futures Markets, 35(2), 148-162.
- Lofgren, H., Gottschalk, J., Nouve, K., Le, V.M. (2009), Analyzing Fiscal Space Using MAMS: An Application to Burkina Faso, WP/09/227, IMF Working Paper. African Department.
- Mackinnon, J. (1996), Numerical distribution functions for unit root and cointegration tests. Journal of Applied Econometrics, 11, 601-618.
- Mathew, E.R., Ngalawa, H. (2017), Oil price shocks and economic performance in Africa's oil exporting countries. Acta Universitatis Danubius, Economica 13(5), 169-188.
- Michael, L., Menzie, D.C. (2004) Do High Oil Prices Presage Inflation? The Evidence from G-5 Countries (February 19, 2004). Santa Cruz: UC Santa Cruz Economics Working Paper No. 561; SCCIE Working Paper No. 4.
- Michael, L., Menzie, D.C. (2004), Do high oil prices presage inflation? The evidence from G-5 Countries. Business Economics, 39, 38-49.
- Mourad, Z., Ben-Salha, O. (2019), Does oil price drive world food prices? Evidence from linear and nonlinear ARDL modeling. Economics, 7, 12.
- Nasser, A.M., Hasim, H.M., Al-Busaidi, K. (2016), Modeling the impact of the oil sector on the economy of sultanate of Oman. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 6(1), 120-127.
- Nooreen, M., Ahmed, R., Mustafa, K. (2007), Does Oil Price Transmit to Emerging Stock Returns: A Case Study of Pakistan Economy. Available from: https://www.studyres.com/doc/8826871/does-oilprice-transmit-to-emerging-stock-returns--a-case.
- Olgun, H. (1982), Current Account, Money and Inflation in Turkey, 1963-1976. Ankara, Turkey: METU Press.
- Osigwe, A.C., Arawomo, D.F. (2015), Energy consumption, energy prices and economic growth: Causal relationships based on error correction model. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 5(2), 408-414.
- Sakib, B.A. (2014), Energy Shocks and the Real Business Cycle Model in Bangladesh. Bangladesh: This Paper is Prepared for the XIX Biennial Conference of Bangladesh Economic Association Scheduled on 20-22 November 2014.
- Seyhun, D., Demezca, M.U.S. (2012), Relationship between Real Oil Price and Real Exchange Rate: The Case of Turkey. 8th International Strategic Management Conference. Vol. 58. Turkey: Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences. p1293-1300.
- Siddiqui, R. (2005), Demand for Energy and the Revenue Impact of Changes in Energy Prices. Islamabad: Pakistan Institute of Development Economics.
- Sims, A., Stock, J.H., Watson, M.W. (1990), Inference in linear time series models with some unit roots by Christopher. Econometrica, 1(58), 113-144.
- Siok, K.S., Teoa X.Q., Wonga, Y.N. (2015), A comparative study on the effects of oil price changes on inflation. Procedia Economics and Finance, 26, 630-636.
- Theodosios, P., Dagoumas, A. (2017), An econometric model for the oil dependence of the Russian economy. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 7(4), 7-13.
- Umut, H., Taşkın, F.D., Çağlı, E.C. (2013), The Turkish stock market integration with oil prices: Cointegration analysis with unknown regime shifts. Panoeconomicus, 4, 499-513.
- Yusoff, N.Y.B., Bekhet, H.A. (2016), Impacts of energy subsidy reforms on the industrial energy structures in the Malaysian economy: A computable general equilibrium approach. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 6(1), 88-97.