# DIGITALES ARCHIV

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Tjahjadi, Bambang; Soewarno, Noorlailie; Khairul Anuar Kamarudin et al.

Article

Effect of top management team characteristics and green innovation on firm performance in Indonesia : role of carbon emission disclosure

**Provided in Cooperation with:** International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy (IJEEP)

*Reference:* Tjahjadi, Bambang/Soewarno, Noorlailie et. al. (2023). Effect of top management team characteristics and green innovation on firm performance in Indonesia : role of carbon emission disclosure. In: International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy 13 (5), S. 44 - 53. https://www.econjournals.com/index.php/ijeep/article/download/14222/7446/34165. doi:10.32479/ijeep.14222.

This Version is available at: http://hdl.handle.net/11159/631215

Kontakt/Contact ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics Düsternbrooker Weg 120 24105 Kiel (Germany) E-Mail: *rights[at]zbw.eu* https://www.zbw.eu/econis-archiv/

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

https://zbw.eu/econis-archiv/termsofuse

#### Terms of use:

This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence.





Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL G

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy

ISSN: 2146-4553

available at http://www.econjournals.com





### Effect of Top Management Team Characteristics and Green Innovation on Firm Performance in Indonesia: Role of Carbon Emission Disclosure

# Bambang Tjahjadi<sup>1\*</sup>, Noorlailie Soewarno<sup>1</sup>, Khairul Anuar Kamarudin<sup>2</sup>, Sazkiya Aldina<sup>1</sup>, Tsanya El Karima<sup>1</sup>, Annisa Ayu Putri Sutarsa<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Airlangga, Surabaya, Indonesia, <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Business, University of Wollongong in Dubai, Dubai, UAE. \*Email: bambang.tjahjadi@feb.unair.ac.id

Received: 20 March 2023

Accepted: 15 July 2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.14222

#### ABSTRACT

The purpose of this study is to investigate whether top management team (TMT) characteristics and green innovation (GI) affect firm performance, and if so, whether the effect is mediated by carbon emission disclosure (CED). This quantitative study employs the partial least square structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) to test the hypotheses. The data were collected from the high-profile companies listed in the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) for the 2015-2019 period. A mediating research framework was developed to explain the association among constructs. Using agency theory and sustainability theory (triple bottom line), the results show the following findings. First, TMT characteristics positively affect firm performance. Second, GI positively affects on firm performance. Third, CED partially mediates the effect of TMT characteristics on firm performance. Fourth, CED partially mediates the effect of GI on firm performance. The current study addresses the existing research gaps and debates in the previous studies in term of proposing a more comprehensive framework by adding CED as a mediating variable. This study also builds a new dataset and focuses on environmental issues in the Indonesian research setting as an emerging country and a two-tier governance system adopter.

Keywords: TMT Characteristics, Green Innovation, Carbon Emission Disclosure, Firm Performance, Economic Growth and Productivity JEL Classifications: O3, G34, L25

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Firm performance has a crucial role because it reviews the work of company's management. Companies that want to survive in a competitive business environment have to innovate and maintain performance in all conditions (Taouab and Issor, 2019). A superior performance is a way to satisfy investors and is reflected in terms of profitability, growth, and market value (Cho and Pucik, 2005). Firm performance can be influenced by many factors, one of which is good corporate governance (GCG). In implementing GCG, Indonesia follows a two-tier GCG system which has *Dewan Direksi* (TMT) and *Dewan Komisaris* (Board of Commissioners). *Dewan Direksi* in a two-tier system is identical to the Top Management Team (TMT) in a one-tier GCG system who is responsible for managing the company. *Dewan Komisaris* acts as the supervisor and advisor to *Dewan Direksi*. The current study focuses on TMT characteristics consisting of the proportion of women, education, size, nationality, and age.

Although some scholars have examined the effect TMT characteristics on firm performance, the results are still inconsistent. Several previous studies have stated that TMT characteristics (proportion of women, education, size, nationality, and age) have a positive effect on firm performance (Nyeadi et al., 2021; Papadimitri et al., 2020; Pucheta-Martínez and Gallego-Álvarez, 2020; Badru and Raji, 2016; Fernández-Temprano and

This Journal is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

Tejerina-Gaite, 2020). In contrast, several previous studies have shown that TMT characteristics have a negative effect on firm performance (Aggarwal et al., 2019; Hussain et al., 2018; Khan and Subhan, 2019; Tanikawa et al., 2017). This research gap provides the opportunity to conduct further studies.

In addition to TMT characteristics, another important factor that influences firm performance is green innovation (GI). In the era of sustainability, GI has become a popular concept in the recent years due to the issues of global warming and environmental damage which pose a serious threat to the world population (Miao et al., 2017). GI is a new technology (hardware or software) related to products or production processes that lead to energy efficiency, pollution reduction, waste recycling, environmentally friendly product design, and corporate environmental management (Chen et al., 2006; Zheng et al., 2020). Previous studies by scholars (Huang and Li, 2017; Tang et al., 2018; Xue et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2019) prove that GI positively affects firm performance. GI can increase market value through production process efficiency (Salvadó et al., 2015). However, Palmer et al. (1995) proved that companies involving in GI could become inefficient and suffer in productivity losses. This gap also justifies further investigations.

The current study argues that the research gaps in previous studies are due to ignoring the notion that TMT characteristics and GI do not directly affect firm performance but through a mediator. Therefore, the current study proposes carbon emission disclosure (CED) as a mediating variable for the following reasons: (1) there are previous studies regarding the effect of TMT characteristics on CED (Al-Qahtani and Elgharbawy, 2020; Kılıç and Kuzey, 2019; Lee et al., 2021; Liao et al., 2015; Nuber and Velte, 2021); (2) the existence of previous studies on the effect of GI on CED (Li and Zeng, 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Yue et al., 2021); (3) the existence of previous research on the effect of CED on firm performance (Alsaifi et al., 2020; Cucchiella et al., 2017; Hardiyansah et al., 2021; Lewandowski, 2017; Soewarno et al., 2018; Trinks et al., 2020); (4) companies need to disclose environmental information to create a good image for stakeholders, one of which is carbon emission management (Kurnia et al., 2020). According to (Delmas and Nairn-Birch, 2011), the better the CED, the better the company's profitability performance.

This study has two important issues to addresss, namely: (1) investigating the mediating role of CED on the relationship between TMT characteristics and firm performance; and (2) examining the mediating role of CED on the relationship between GI and firm performance. Thus, the current study supports the development of agency theory and sustainability theory in term of proposing a more comprehensive framework by adding CED as a mediating variable, and focusing on environmental issues in the Indonesian research setting as an emerging country and a two-tier governance system adopter. The current study has three research questions, namely: (1) Do TMT characteristics affect firm performance?; (2) Does GI affect firm performance?; (3) Does CED mediate the effect of TMT characteristics on firm performance?; (4) Does CED mediate the effect of GI on firm performance?

This is a quantitative study conducted on the high-profile companies listed in the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) for the 2015-2019 period. It employs the partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM) to analyze and test the hypotheses studied. The findings prove that: (1) TMT characteristics positively affect firm performance; (2) GI positively affects on firm performance; (3) CED partially mediates the effect of TMT characteristics on firm performance; (4) CED partially mediates the effect of GI on firm performance.

The current study has theoretical and practical contributions. Theoretically, it provides empirical evidence to the developments on agency theory (Jenson and Meckling, 1976) and sustainability theory. Specifically, it provides empirical evidence of the effect of TMT characteristics and GI on firm performance with CED as a mediating variable in Indonesian high-profile companies. Practically, it provides guidance on how to improve firm performance by properly managing good corporate governance, green innovations and CED. The findings can be a useful reference for further researchers who are interested in studying the characteristics of TMT, GI, CED, and firm performance.

#### 2. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS DEVELOPMENT

Agency theory by Jenson and Meckling (1976) states that there are differences in interests between principals (shareholders) and agents (management). Those differences potentially lead to agency conflicts that cause agency costs (Bhatt and Bhatt, 2017). According to Fama and Jensen (1983), to align the goals of principals and agents, the agency theory suggests to separate decision-making and to reduce managers' discretion through implementing good corporate governance (GCG). GCG has been proven to reduce agency problems and encourage companies to operate as expected (Terjesen et al., 2014). In implementing GCG, TMT plays an important role (Chari et al., 2019; Schillemans and Bjurstrøm, 2020; Vitolla et al., 2020). TMT is responsible for increasing the company's sustainability following the expectations of shareholders (Nuber et al., 2020; Teece, 2019). This is because TMT is the company's highest decision maker who determines firm performance.

Sustainability theory explains that a balance is needed in managing the environmental, economic, and social issues to achieve sustainability. The concept of Triple Bottom Line (TBL) is introduced by Elkington (1997). According to Sze'kely & vom Brocke (2017), companies need to adopt sustainability strategies into their businesses by implementing new governance and performance strategies that involve their shareholders.

#### 2.1. TMT Characteristics and Firm Performance

Discussions on the role of good governance in improving firm performance relate to agency theory stating that there is a conflict of interest between management and stakeholders (Jenson and Meckling, 1976). The implementation of good governance is expected to minimize the conflict of interest. TMT has a critical role as the key element of good governance structure of a company because all important decisions relate to TMT. Therefore, TMT characteristics are important in determining firm performance. A study by Fernández-Temprano and Tejerina-Gaite (2020) on 87 non-financial companies in Spain proved that the age diversity of chief executive officer has a positive influence on firm performance. Nyeadi et al. (2021) conducted a study in 720 companies in Ghana and proved that the involvement of women in TMT ranks positively affects company performance. A study by Pucheta-Martínez and Gallego-Álvarez's (2020) proved that board size, board independence, and the involvement of female directors positively affect corporate performance. Thus, the better the good governance structure proxied by TMT characteristics, the better the firm performance. Based on the previous arguments, the first hypothesis is proposed as follows:

 $\rm H_{1}:$  TMT characteristics are positively associated with firm performance

#### 2.2. Green Innovation and Firm Performance

Sustainability theory operationalized by TBL suggests that company's sustainability performance can be achieved if the company has the capability to balance environmental, social, and economic issues. By implementing GI, a company can improve sustainability performance and ecological and energy efficiency (Li et al., 2018). GI is divided into two processes, namely green product innovation (GPI) and green process innovation (GPR) (Wong et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2018). GPI aims to reduce environmental impact during the product life cycle (Christensen, 2011). On the other hand, GPR aims to reduce energy consumption during production or convert waste into valuable goods (Salvadó et al., 2012). According to Xie et al. (2019), these two innovations will drive better competitive advantage and firm performance. A study by Tang et al. (2018) on 188 manufacturing companies in China proved that GPR and GPI have a positive and significant effect on firm performance. Xue et al. (2019) conducted a study on 256 companies in China and proved that GI positively affects operational, financial, and environmental performance. Research by Zhang et al. (2019) on 764 companies in China proved that GI improves sales growth performance. In summary, the better the green innovation the better the firm performance. Based on the previous argument, the third hypothesis is proposed as follows:

H2: Green innovation is positively associated with firm performance

#### **2.3. Mediating Role of CED on TMT Characteristics**firm Performance Relationship

Agency theory states that to solve conflict of interest between management and stakeholders, a company needs good governance system. The role of TMT is very important in implementing a successful good governance because TMT is responsible for all business processes and decision-making within the organization (Shar Baloch, 2020; Saleh et al., 2020). The decision regarding carbon emission disclosure is also under control of TMT. A study by Al-Qahtani and Elgharbawy (2019) on 165 companies in the UK proved that the representation of female directors on the TMT positively affects the disclosure and management of greenhouse gas information. Kılıç and Kuzey's (2019) conducted research on 154 companies in Turkey and proved that companies with more independent directors are more sensitive in responding to carbon disclosure projects.

Carbon emission disclosure (CED) is a strategy for responding to stakeholders' demands so that companies do not ignore environmental problems in carrying out their operations. Implementing CED is expected to improve the company's reputation and increasing company value (Hardiyansah, 2021). A study by Soewarno et al. (2018) on 87 companies proved that carbon disclosure project positively affects financial performance. A study by Alsaifi et al. (2020) on 350 companies in the UK proved that voluntary carbon disclosure positively affects financial performance. Another study by Trinks et al.' (2020) on 1572 international companies proved that superior financial performance is found in carbon-efficient companies. Based on the previous explanation, the following third hypothesis is proposed:

 $H_3$ : CED mediates the effect of TMT characteristics on firm performance.

## **2.4. Mediating role of CED on Green Innovation-firm Performance Relationship**

TBL states that management needs to balance environmental, social, and economic issues to achieve sustainability goals (Elkington, 1997). Green innovation plays a crucial role in firm sustainability. A study by Xu et al. (2021) proved that GI positively affects carbon emission performance in China. Companies with environmentally friendly innovations are driven uncover carbon emission problems in their operational activities. According to Hardiyansah (2021), the increasing public awareness of the importance of environmental sustainability, CED will create a good response from the market and society so that it has a significant impact on firm performance. Based on the previous arguments, the following fourth hypothesis is proposed:

 $\rm H_4:$  CED mediates the effect of green innovation on firm performance.

#### **3. METHODOLOGY**

#### 3.1. Sample and Data Collection

The data were derived from all high-profile companies listed on the IDX for the period of 2015-2019. The purposive sampling method was employed with the following criteria: (1) the company must be a high profile company listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the 2015-2019 period; (2) the company must publish annual reports and or sustainability reports; (3) the company must disclose carbon emissions in annual reports or sustainability reports. Based on the criteria, as many as 156 firm-year data were obtained.

#### 3.2. Definitions and Measurements

#### 3.2.1. TMT characteristics

Table 1 shows the definition and measurement used in measuring TMT characteristics. Green Innovation (GI) is defined as the formulation and development of operating products, services, and processes that reduce environmental damage with available alternatives. GI consists of two processes, namely green product

Tjahjadi, et al.: Effect of Top Management Team Characteristics and Green Innovation on Firm Performance in Indonesia: Role of Carbon Emission Disclosure

Indicators	Definition	Measurement	References
Proportion of	The proportion of female TMT is	The proportion of Female TMT=Number	Pucheta-Martínez and
female TMT	measured by the ratio between the number of female TMT and the total number of the entire TMT	of female TMT/Total ranks of TMT	Gallego-Álvarez (2020)
CEO educational	The educational background of	The bachelor's, master's, MBA, and	Papadimitri et al. (2020)
background	CEO	Ph.D. education levels taken by the president director are given a value of 1. If the CEO does not take the education, it is given a value of 0. Score=Bachelor+2 x Master+2 x MBA+3 x PhD	
CEO nationality	Nationality of the CEO	It is measured by a dummy variable, which is given a value of 1 if the CEO is a foreign national and given a value of 0 if it comes from Indonesia.	Badru and Raji (2016).
TMT size	Total Number of TMT	TMT Size= $\Sigma$ All members of the TMT	Pucheta-Martínez and Gallego-Álvarez (2020)
CEO age	Age when the CEO in the office.	CEO Age=Year in office – Year of birth	(Naseem et al., 2019)

Table 1: Definition and measurement of TMT characteristics

innovation (GPI) and green process innovation (GPR) (Wong et al., 2012; Tang et al., 2018).

#### 3.2.1.1. Green product innovation

Green Product Innovation (GPI) is the improvement of new products or services that do not negatively impact the environment. In the current study, GPI is analyzed using information disclosed in the sustainability report and annual report by assessing it through 12 keywords (environmental, green, sustainable, ecology, clean, cycling, saving, low carbon, emission reduction, energy saving, environmental protection, and environmental, pollution). If there is a disclosure by the company, then a rating of 1 will be given and 0 otherwise. Then, an index is obtained by dividing the total disclosures by 12 as inspired by the studies of Cormier and Magnan (2015) and Li et al. (2016).

Green Product Innovation = Total information disclosed/12.

#### 3.2.1.2. Green process innovation

Green Process Innovation (GPR) is defined as improving the production process and using environmentally friendly technologies to reduce negative environmental impacts. To measure GPR, the current study uses the award from the Ministry of the Environment obtained by each company. The name of the award is PROPER (Firm Performance Rating and Environmental Management Program) which consists of five categories. The assessment score is 5 for companies in the gold category, a score of 4 for companies in the green category, a score of 3 for companies in the blue category, a score of 2 for companies in the red category, a score of 1 for companies in the black category and a score of 0 for companies that are not in the PROPER category.

#### 3.2.2. Carbon emission disclosure

Carbon Emission Disclosure (CED) is one of the environmental information that provides information about carbon accounting from industrial processes, determining carbon reduction targets, reporting systems, and developing carbon reduction programs. Referring to Bae Choi et al. (2013), CED was measured by 18 disclosure indicators which were divided into five categories. The categories relate to climate change: risk and opportunities, GHG emissions accounting, energy consumption accounting, GHG deduction and cost, and carbon emission accountability. Each indicator is given a score of 1 if it is disclosed, and a score of 0 is given if it is not disclosed. A maximum score of 18 will be awarded when a company discloses all information.

Carbon Emission Disclosure = Information disclosed.

#### 3.2.3. Firm performance

Firm performance describes the company's management ability to manage resources. In the current study, firm performance is measured by financial performance and firm value using the formula presented in Table 2.

#### 3.2.4. Control variables

The current study employs two control variables that affect firm performance. Both variables refer to the previous studies using the firm size and firm age. These variables are presented in Table 3.

#### 3.3. Analysis

To test the hypohesis, the current study employs the partial least square structural equation modeling (PLS SEM). The PLS SEM is suitable for this current study due to the following reasons, namely: (1) its ability to test complex models simultaneously (Sholihin and Ratmono, 2013); (2) its effectiveness to test the secondary data from a measurement theory perspective (Hair et al., 2017); and (3) its ability to produce accurate tests using relatively small data (Sholihin and Ratmono, 2013). The PLS SEM consists of two steps: (1) the measurement model analysis; (2) the structural model analysis.

#### 4. RESULTS

#### 4.1. Descriptive Statistics

Table 4 shows the result of descriptive statistics. Based on the values of standard deviation and mean, the data of proportion of female TMT, ROA, ROE, and Tobin's Q tend to vary. Meanwhile, the data of CEO education, TMT size, CEO age, GPI, GPR, CED,

Variables	Definition	Measurement	Reference
Return on Assets (ROA)	ROA describes how company assets are used to generate profits.	ROA <sub>i, t</sub> =Net Income/ , Total Assets	Kyere and Ausloos (2021)
Return on Equity (ROE)	ROE describes the company's ability to generate profits for shareholders by using their own capital.	$ROE_{i,t} = Net Income_{i,t}$ Total Equity i, t	Assenga et al., 2018
Leverage	Leverage is used to measure company funding that is financed from debt.	LEV <sub>i, t</sub> =Total Debt <sub>i, t</sub> Total Assets i, t	Poletti-Hughes and Briano-Turrent, 2019
Current Ratio	The current ratio is used to measure the company's liquidity position.	$CR_{i, t}$ =Current Assets , Current Liabilities i, t	Vairavan and Zhang (2020)
Tobins' Q	Tobin's Q is used to measure firm value.	Tobin's Q <sub>i, t</sub> =MCCS	Pucheta-Martínez and Gallego-Álvarez (2020)

#### Table 2: Definition and measurement of firm performance

#### Table 3: Definition and measurement of control variable

Variables	Construct	Description	References
SIZE	Firm Size	Natural logarithm of total assets	Assenga et al. (2018)
AGE	Firm Age	Number of years which the firm has been listed.	Harjoto et al. (2019)

#### **Table 4: Descriptive statistics**

Variable	n	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	SD
Proportion of female TMT	156	0	0.57	0.0853	0.1337
(TMT1)					
CEO education (TMT2)	156	0	8	2.1987	1.60411
TMT size (TMT3)	156	2	10	5.6026	1.9925
CEO nationality (TMT4)	156	0	1	-	-
CEO age (TMT5)	156	38	70	53.0897	6.23142
Green product innovation (GPI)	156	0.25	1	0.8226	0.16659
Green process innovation (GPR)	156	0	5	2.0321	1.90219
Carbon emission disclosure (CED)	156	1	18	9.4295	4.78453
Return on asset (ROA)	156	-0.58	0.92	0.0637	0.12758
Return on equity (ROE)	156	-0.78	2.24	0.1215	0.2913
Leverage (LEV)	156	0.02	1.9	0.436	0.26109
Current ratio (CR)	156	0.11	8.05	1.7492	1.36854
Tobin's Q (TQ)	156	0.36	14.12	2.5483	2.87319
Firm age (AGE)	156	0	38	18.7949	8.9592
Firm size (SIZE)	156	21.92	33.03	29.7278	2.04216

Leverage, Current Ratio, Firm Age, and Firm size do not tend to vary.

#### **4.2.** Pearson Correlation

Table 5 shows the result of Pearson correlation. A value of more than 0.5 indicates a relatively strong correlation, while a value of <0.5 indicates a weak correlation. A positive sign indicates a unidirectional correlation, while a negative sign indicates an opposite correlation.

#### 4.3. Measurement Model Analysis

The PLS-SEM used in the current study consists of measurement model analysis and structural model analysis. The measurement model assesses the relationship between measures and construct by evaluating the reliability and validity of measure of specific construct. It determines the correspondence rules between the measured and latent variables (Hair et al., 2010). The three criteria used to assess each construct in the measurement model consist of composite reliability, convergent validity, and discriminant validity. The current study uses the minimum factor loading value of 0.6 and the composite reliability of more significant than 0.7 (Chin, 1998; Hair et al., 2013). In the first iteration, CEO age is an invalid indicator of TMT characteristics because its factor loading was 0.524 (<0.6). Therefore, CEO age was omitted from the construct indicator of TMT characteristics in further analysis.

The current study assesses construct validity by evaluating convergence validity and discriminant validity. The average variance extracted (AVE) is used to assess convergent validity. The value of AVE must exceed 0.5 which indicates that each indicator fulfills one part of the variance of its indicators. In discriminant validity, the square root of AVE of a construct must be greater than the correlation between the construct with another construct (Sholihin and Ratmono, 2021). The reliability is assessed using composite reliability (CR) and its value must be greater than or equal to 0.7 (Hair Jr. et al., 2017). Table 6 presents the result of convergent validity test. The AVE values for TMT characteristics, GI, CED, and firm performance are 0.678. 0.664, 0.754, 0.678, respectively. The composite reliability values for TMT characteristics, GI, CED, and firm performance are 0.887, 0.860, 1.000, and 0.912, respectively.

Table 7 presents the result of discriminant validity test. The test ensures that the square root of the AVE shown in the diagonal column must be greater than the correlation between constructs in the same column (Sholihin and Ratmono, 2021). The TMT

TMT4 TMT55		TMT55		GPI	GPR	CED	FP1	FP2	FP3	FP4	KP5	AGE
$-0.217^{***}$	$-0.217^{***}$	1										
	0.063	0.15	*	1								
$0.205^{**}$	$0.205^{**}$	0.159	*	$0.508^{***}$	1							
0.191**	0.191**	-0.162	*	$0.509^{**}$	0.465***	1						
$0.393^{***}$	$0.393^{***}$	-0.10	5	-0.129	$0.15^{*}$	$0.191^{**}$	1					
$0.388^{***}$	$0.388^{***}$	-0.06	~	-0.084	$0.199^{**}$	$0.158^{**}$	$0.846^{***}$					
-0.0472	-0.0472	0.064	_	0.045	0.072	-0.142*	$-0.276^{***}$	-0.022	1			
$0.161^{**}$	$0.161^{**}$	-0.00	0	-0.157*	0.008	-0.049	$0.261^{***}$	0.083	-0.539***	-		
$0.166^{**}$	$0.166^{**}$	-0.09	9	-0.342***	-0.059	-0.009	$0.38^{***}$	$0.384^{***}$	0.026		1	
* 0.08 -0.052		-0.052	~	-0.13	0.122		$0.358^{***}$	$0.404^{***}$	$0.155^{*}$	0.131	$0.305^{***}$	1
	-0.042	0.06	10	$0.303^{***}$	$0.331^{***}$	$0.421^{***}$	-0.0003	0.013	-0.025		$-0.263^{***}$	0.108

Table 6: Results of convergent validity				
Construct	<b>Factor loading</b>	<b>P-value</b>		
TMT characteristics				
Composite reliability=0.887; AVI	E=0.664			
Proportion of female TMT	0.816	< 0.001		
CEO education	0.858	< 0.001		
TMT size	0.710	< 0.001		
CEO age	0.867	< 0.001		
Green inn	ovation (GI)			
Composite reliability=0.860; AVI	E=0.754			
Green product innovation	0.868	< 0.001		
Green process innovation	0.868	< 0.001		
Carbon emission disclosure (CED)				
Composite reliability=1.000; AVI	E=1.000			
Carbon emission disclosure	1.000	< 0.001		
Firm performance				
Composite reliability=0.912; AVI	E=0.678			
Return on asset	0.647	< 0.001		
Return on equity	0.806	< 0.001		
Leverage	0.769	< 0.001		
Current ratio	0.890	< 0.001		
Tobin's Q	0.968	< 0.001		

characteristics GI, CED, and firm performance are 0.815, 0.868, 1.000, and 0.823, respectively, which are the highest value compared to the construct values horizontally. In conclusion, the measurement model of this study is valid and reliable.

#### 4.4. Structural Model Analysis

The structural model analysis aims to test the hypothesis studied. It investigates the effect of TMT characteristics and GI on firm performance directly and indirectly (mediated by CED). Table 8 (Panel A) shows that TMT characteristics is positively associated with firm performance ( $\beta = 0.39$ ; P < 0.01; R<sup>2</sup> = 0.31). GI is also positively associated with firm performance ( $\beta = 0.22$ ; P < 0.01). Thus, the first hypothesis and the second hypothesis are supported.

Table 8 (Panel B) shows that the direct effect of TMT characteristics on firm performance after including the mediating variable of CED has decreased from 0.39 to 0.21but it remains significant (P < 0.01). Furthermore, the direct effect of GI on firm performance after including the mediating variable of CED has also decreased from 0.22 to 0.17 but it remains significant (P = 0.02). The results indicate the existence of partial mediation (Kock, 2014). Thus, the third hypothesis and the fourth hypothesis are supported.

#### **5. DISCUSSION**

#### 5.1. TMT characteristics and firm performance

As predicted by agency theory, the empirical results support the first hypothesis stating that TMT characteristics are positively associated with firm performance. This is in line with agency theory explaining the crucial role of good governance in achieving firm performance. TMT characteristics represent good governance structure. TMT is the key to the success of a good governance execution because they are the key decision makers in the company (Shar Baloch, 2020; Saleh et al., 2020). In the current study TMT characteristics consist of the proportion of female in TMT, CEO educational background, TMT size, CEO age, and CEO nationality.

Table 7. Result of discriminant	valiuity			
Variables	TMT characteristics	Green innovation	Carbon emission disclosure	Firm performance
TMT characteristics	0.815	0.11	0.172	0.202
Green innovation (GI)	0.11	0.868	0.561	0.355
Carbon emission disclosure (CED)	0.172	0.561	1	0.435
Firm performance	0.202	0.355	0.435	0.823

t validity
ľ

#### Table 8: Results of structural model analysis

Panel A	(before mediation)			
From	T	)		
	Firm perf	ormance		
TMT characteristics	0.389			
Green innovation	0.223	***		
$\mathbb{R}^2$	0.31			
Panel I	B (after mediation)			
From	Te	)		
	Carbon emission	Firm		
	disclosure performance			
TMT characteristics	0.359	0.214***		
Green innovation	0.475	0.167**		
Carbon emission disclosure		0.367***		
R <sup>2</sup>	0.45	0.41		

\*\*\* P<0.01; \*\* P<0.05; \*\*\*; \* P<0.1

The findings are in line with the studies of Fernández-Temprano and Tejerina-Gaite (2020), Pucheta-Martínez and Gallego-Álvarez (2020), and Nyeadi et al. (2021). In the context of Indonesia that follows a two-tier good governance system, the findings confirm and provide empirical evidence that a good governance structure represented by TMT characteristics determines firm performance. The proportion of female in TMT, CEO educational background, TMT size, and CEO nationality are the key attributes that must be considered by investors, management, and other stakeholders who are concerned with good corporate governance and firm performance. Indonesia has passed several regulations to support the participation of women in leadership. Indonesia has transformed policies and regulations to enhance the quality of human capital in terms of education, abilities, and talents as important keys of growth, job creation, and innovation (RDK, 2020). In summary, the empirical results of the current study confirm and provide the evidence that the better the good governance structure proxied by TMT characteristics, the better the firm performance.

#### 5.2. Green innovation and firm performance

As predicted by sustainability theory, especially the triple bottom line (TBL), the empirical results support the second hypothesis stating that GI is positively associated with firm performance. In this study, GI consists of GPI and GPR. The findings support sustainability theory explaining that sustainability performance will be achieved if the company properly manages the environment, social, and economic issues. These findings support some previous studies of Al-Qahtani and Elgharbawy (2019), Kılıç and Kuzey (2019), and Lee et al. (2021). In the context of Indonesia, the findings provide important empirical evidence regarding the efforts in reducing negative impacts of corporate activities to the environment.

Indonesia has passed the regulation to protect and manage the environment, namely the Law Number 32 of 2009 which contains planning, utilization, control, maintenance, supervision, and law enforcement. This law binds all organizations operating in Indonesia, especially high-profile companies which have a high potential to pollute the environment. Therefore, companies must innovate in term of product innovation and environmentally friendly process innovation. Indonesia also has established the Ministry of Environment and Forestry to ensure the implementation of environmental protection and management laws and regulations. In carrying out its activities, the Ministry awards PROPER (Company Performance Rating Program in Environmental Management) which is given to the companies that demonstrate compliance with environmental management laws and regulations.

Some green innovations have been made by the Indonesian companies. For example, in terms of green product innovation, a mineral water producer has improved its performance by launching a product innovation. The company has developed environmentally friendly bottles produced from 100% recycled polyethylene terephthalate (RPET) which is comparable to pure plastic seeds (Tanobel, 2020). In term of green process innovation, a company has succeeded in carrying out sustainable business practices gaining the 4 awards at the 2019 Indonesia Green Awards. In summary, the better the green innovation (green product innovation and green process innovation), the better the firm performance.

#### 5.3. Carbon emission disclosure mediates the effect of TMT characteristics on firm performance

This research proves that carbon emission disclosure mediates the effect of TMT characteristics on firm performance. These findings empirically prove that carbon emissions disclosures partially mediate the effect of the TMT characteristics on the firm's performance. These results support the TMT characteristics research on CED (Al-Qahtani and Elgharbawy, 2020; Kılıç and Kuzey, 2019; Lee et al., 2021; Liao et al., 2015; Nuber and Velte, 2021). The results of this study also support CED research on firm performance (Alsaifi et al., 2020; Cucchiella et al., 2017; Hardiyansah et al., 2021; Lewandowski, 2017; Soewarno et al., 2018; Trinks et al., 2020). As stated by agency theory, GCG reflected through the characteristics of the TMT affects CED because they are responsible for all decisions, including those related to environmental care. In addition, CED disclosure can also improve firm performance because investors can give a higher assessment to companies that implement carbon efficiency (Trinks et al., 2020). The results of this study support sustainability theory, which states that the firm's performance will be sustainable if the company can balance economic, social, and environmental activities. In conclusion, the better the good governance structure (proxied by TMT characteristics), the better the CED. Furthermore, the better the CED, the better the firm performance.

### 5.4. Carbon emission disclosure mediates the effect of green innovation on firm performance

This research proves that carbon emission disclosure mediates the effect of green innovation on firm performance. These findings empirically prove that carbon emission disclosures partially mediate the effect of green innovation on firm performance. These results support the GI study of CED (Li and Zeng, 2020; Zhang et al., 2020; Xu et al., 2021; Yue et al., 2021). As previously discussed, the results of this study also support CED research on firm performance (Alsaifi et al., 2020; Cucchiella et al., 2017; Hardiyansah et al., 2021; Lewandowski, 2017; Soewarno et al., 2018; Trinks et al., 2020). The results of this study support sustainability theory, which reveals that GI can improve carbon emission performance through direct and indirect carbon emission reduction innovations. When companies implement GI, the community will benefit from reducing carbon emissions, more green products will be available and economic growth so that people's quality of life will improve. In conclusion, it is empirically proven that the better the green innovation (green product innovation and green process innovation), the better the CED. Furthermore, the better the CED, the better the firm performance.

# 6. CONCLUSION, CONTRIBUTIONS, AND LIMITATION

#### **6.1.** Conclusion

This study uses 156 firm-year high-profile companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange for the 2015-2019 period by investigating the relationship of four constructs, namely the characteristics of the TMT, green innovation, carbon emission disclosure, and firm performance. We use agency theory and sustainability theory to explain the relationship between each construct. SEM PLS was used to test the hypotheses, and the results showed that all hypotheses were supported. The results have answered the four main research questions previously stated in the introduction and become the main contribution of this study. First, the characteristics of the TMT have a positive effect on firm performance. Second, green innovation has a positive effect on firm performance. Third, carbon emission disclosure partially mediates the relationship between the TMT characteristics and firm performance. Fourth, carbon emission disclosure partially mediates the relationship between green innovation and firm performance. The study's results prove that carbon emission disclosure is a mediator, as proposed.

#### **6.2.** Theoretical Contributions

Theoretically, the current study enhances the existing literature on the TMT characteristics, green innovation, carbon emission disclosures, and firm performance. First, it provides additional empirical evidence about the positive effects of the TMT characteristics and green innovation on firm performance. Second, the current study empirically proves the critical role of carbon emission disclosures in mediating the readiness of green human resources to improve business performance. This finding also strengthens the literature on the critical role of the TMT characteristics and green innovation in improving firm performance. In particular, it provides empirical evidence on the mediating effect of partial carbon emissions disclosures on the effect of the characteristics of the TMT and green innovation on firm performance. Investigations of the mediating effect of carbon emission disclosures are rarely investigated and, therefore, contribute to the absence of literature. In summary, these findings also imply that agency theory and sustainability theory are relevant to explain the determinants of firm performance.

#### **6.3. Managerial Implication**

The current study has the following managerial implications. First, it increases the understanding of the management of highprofile companies regarding the mechanism of how to improve firm performance. Second, it implies that in achieving a better firm performance, management and stakeholders must collaborate in building a good governance structure, GI, CED to face green economy challenges. They should provide specific green programs. Third, it has important implications for new policies or approaches to enhance firm performance in the era of sustainability.

#### 6.4. Limitation and Future Research

This study has the following limitations. First, the limited number of high-profile companies in Indonesia that disclose their carbon activities through sustainability reports or annual reports results in a lack of information about CED. This is because the disclosure of carbon emissions in Indonesia is still voluntary. Second, the limited literature on CED makes it challenging to access secondary research information sources. It is recommended for: (1) OJK (Indonesian Financial Services Authority) to require reporting of carbon activities through a sustainability report or a sustainability statement in the annual report as a form of corporate social responsibility; (2) For tax regulators to immediately implement and tighten carbon tax in Indonesia so that carbon emissions in Indonesia can be reduced. (3) For the next researcher to test the same research model with different samples, periods, and types of industrial sectors to see the validity of the research model. It is also recommended to add new indicators of the characteristics of the TMT, such as tenure and board meeting frequency which have not been studied in this study.

#### REFERENCES

- Aggarwal, R., Jindal, V., Seth, R. (2019), Board diversity and firm performance: The role of business group affiliation. International Business Review, 28(6), 101600.
- Al-Qahtani, M., Elgharbawy, A. (2020), The effect of board diversity on disclosure and management of greenhouse gas information: Evidence from the United Kingdom. Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 33(6), 1557-1579.
- Alsaifi, K., Elnahass, M., Salama, A. (2020), Carbon disclosure and financial performance: UK environmental policy. Business Strategy and the Environment, 29(2), 711-726.
- Assenga, M.P., Aly, D., Hussainey, K. (2018), The impact of board characteristics on the financial performance of Tanzanian firms. Corporate Governance (Bingley), 18(6), 1089-1106.
- Badru, B.O., Raji, J.O. (2016), Companies' financial outcomes in Nigeria: Does chief executive officer nationality matter? Journal of Applied Economic Sciences, 11(4), 599-615.
- Bae Choi, B., Lee, D., Psaros, J. (2013), An analysis of Australian company carbon emission disclosures. Pacific Accounting Review, 25(1), 58-79.

Bhatt, P.R., Bhatt, R.R. (2017), Corporate governance and firm performance in Malaysia. Corporate Governance (Bingley), 17(5), 896-912.

- Chari, M.D.R., David, P., Duru, A., Zhao, Y. (2019), Bowman's riskreturn paradox: An agency theory perspective. Journal of Business Research, 95, 357-375.
- Chen, Y.S., Lai, S.B., Wen, C.T. (2006), The influence of green innovation performance on corporate advantage in Taiwan. Journal of Business Ethics, 67(4), 331-339.
- Chin, W.W. (1998), Issues and opinion on structural equation modeling. Management Information Systems Quarterly, 22(1), 2884.
- Cho, H.J., Pucik, V. (2005), Relationship between innovativeness, quality, growth, profitability, and market value. Strategic Management Journal, 26(6), 555-575.
- Christensen, T.B. (2011), Modularised eco-innovation in the auto industry. Journal of Cleaner Production, 19(2-3), 212-220.
- Cormier, D., Magnan, M. (2015), The economic relevance of environmental disclosure and its impact on corporate legitimacy: An empirical investigation. Business Strategy and the Environment, 24(6), 431-450.
- Cucchiella, F., Gastaldi, M., Miliacca, M. (2017), The management of greenhouse gas emissions and its effects on firm performance. Journal of Cleaner Production, 167, 1387-1400.
- Delmas, M.A., Nairn-Birch, N.S. (2011), Is the Tail Wagging the Dog? An Empirical Analysis of Corporate Carbon Footprints and Financial Performance. UCLA Institute of the Environment and Sustainability, Working Paper.
- Elkington, J. (1997), The Triple Bottom Line of 21<sup>st</sup> Century Business Cannibals with Forks. Gabriola, BC: New Society Publishers.
- Fama, E.F. (1983), Separation of ownership and control. Journal of Law and Economics, 26, 301-325.
- Fernández-Temprano, M.A., Tejerina-Gaite, F. (2020), Types of director, board diversity and firm performance. Corporate Governance (Bingley), 20(2), 324-342.
- Hair, J.F Jr., Matthews, L.M., Matthews, R.L., Sarstedt, M. (2017), PLS-SEM or CB-SEM: Updated guidelines on which method to use. International Journal of Multivariate Data Analysis, 1(2), 107-123.
- Hair, J.F Jr., Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L., Kuppelwieser, V.G. (2014), Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM): An emerging tool in business research. European Business Review, 26(2), 106-121.
- Hair, J.F., Ringle, C.M., Sarstedt, M. (2011), PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 19(2), 139-152.
- Hardiyansah, M., Agustini, A.T., Purnamawati, I. (2021), The effect of carbon emission disclosure on firm value : Environmental performance and industrial type. Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 8(1), 123-133.
- Harjoto, M.A., Laksmana, I., Yang, Y. (2019), Board nationality and educational background diversity and corporate social performance. Corporate Governance (Bingley), 19(2), 217-239.
- Huang, J.W., Li, Y.H. (2017), Green innovation and performance: The view of organizational capability and social reciprocity. Journal of Business Ethics, 145(2), 309-324.
- Hussain, N., Rigoni, U., Orij, R.P. (2018), Corporate governance and sustainability performance: Analysis of triple bottom line performance. Journal of Business Ethics, 149(2), 411-432.
- Jenson, M.C., Meckling, W.H. (1976), Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. Journal of Financial Economics, 3(4), 305-360.
- Khan, A.W., Abdul Subhan, Q. (2019), Impact of board diversity and audit on firm performance. Cogent Business and Management, 6(1), 1-16.
- Kiliç, M., Kuzey, C. (2019), The effect of corporate governance on carbon emission disclosures: Evidence from Turkey. International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management, 11(1), 35-53.

- Kock, N. (2014), Advanced mediating effects tests, multi-group analyses, and measurement model assessments in PLS-based SEM. International Journal of E-Collaboration, 10(1), 1-13.
- Kurnia, P., Darlis, E., Putr, A.A. (2020), Carbon emission disclosure, good corporate governance, financial performance, and firm value. The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business, 7(12), 223-231.
- Kyere, M., Ausloos, M. (2021), Corporate governance and firms financial performance in the United Kingdom. International Journal of Finance and Economics, 26(2), 1871-1885.
- Lee, T., Liu, W.T., Yu, J.X. (2021), Does TMT composition matter to environmental policy and firm performance? The role of organizational slack. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 28(1), 196-213.
- Lewandowski, S. (2017), Corporate carbon and financial performance: The role of emission reductions. Business Strategy and the Environment, 26(8), 1196-1211.
- Li, D., Huang, M., Ren, S., Chen, X., Ning, L. (2018), Environmental legitimacy, green innovation, and corporate carbon disclosure: Evidence from CDP China 100. Journal of Business Ethics, 150(4), 1089-1104.
- Li, D., Zhao, Y., Zhang, L., Chen, X., Cao, C. (2018), Impact of quality management on green innovation. Journal of Cleaner Production, 170, 462-470.
- Liao, L., Luo, L., Tang, Q. (2015), Gender diversity, board independence, environmental committee and greenhouse gas disclosure. British Accounting Review, 47(4), 409-424.
- Miao, C., Fang, D., Sun, L., Luo, Q. (2017), Natural resources utilization efficiency under the influence of green technological innovation. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 126, 153-161.
- Naseem, M.A., Lin, J., Rehman, R.U., Ahmad, M.I., Ali, R. (2020), Does capital structure mediate the link between CEO characteristics and firm performance? Management Decision, 58(1), 164-181.
- Nuber, C., Velte, P. (2021), Board gender diversity and carbon emissions: European evidence on curvilinear relationships and critical mass. Business Strategy and the Environment, 30(4), 1958-1992.
- Nuber, C., Velte, P., Hörisch, J. (2020), The curvilinear and time-lagging impact of sustainability performance on financial performance: Evidence from Germany. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 27(1), 232-243.
- Nyeadi, J.D., Kamasa, K., Kpinpuo, S. (2021), Female in top management and firm performance nexus : Empirical evidence from Ghana. Cogent Economics, Finance, 9(1), 1921323.
- Palmer, K., Oates, W.E., Portney, P.R. (1995), Tightening environmental standards: The benefit-cost or the no-cost paradigm? Economic Costs and Consequences of Environmental Regulation, 9(4), 119-132.
- Papadimitri, P., Pasiouras, F., Tasiou, M., Ventouri, A. (2020), The effects of board of directors' education on firms' credit ratings. Journal of Business Research, 116(462), 294-313.
- Poletti-Hughes, J., Briano-Turrent, G.C. (2019), Gender diversity on the board of directors and corporate risk: A behavioural agency theory perspective. International Review of Financial Analysis, 62, 80-90.
- Pucheta-Martínez, M.C., Gallego-Álvarez, I. (2020), Do board characteristics drive firm performance? An international perspective. Review of Managerial Science, 14(6), 1251-1297.
- RDK. (2020), Pentingnya Pendidikan dan Kemampuan Bagi Anak Bangsa. Available from: https://rdk.fidkom.uinjkt.ac.id/index. php/2020/09/27/pentingnya-pendidikan-dan-kemampuan-bagianak-bangsa
- Saleh, M.W., Shurafa, R., Shukeri, S.N., Nour, A.I., Maigosh, Z.S. (2020), The effect of board multiple directorships and CEO characteristics on firm performance: Evidence from Palestine. Journal of Accounting in Emerging Economies, 10(4), 637-654.

Salvadó, J.A., De Castro, G.M., Navas López, J.E., Verde, M.D. (2012),

Environmental Innovation and Firm Performance: A Natural Resource-Based View. Germany: Springer.

- Schillemans, T., Bjurstrøm, K.H. (2020), Trust and verification: Balancing agency and stewardship theory in the governance of agencies. International Public Management Journal, 23(5), 650-676.
- Shar Baloch, D.R. (2020), Effects of Top Management Team Conflict on Organization's Decision-Making Ability and Executive Retention Programs: An Empirical Study from Sugar Mills. DecisionSciRN: Leadership & Decision-Making (Topic).
- Sholihin, M., Ratmono, D. (2021), Analisis SEM-PLS dengan WarpPLS 7.0 Untuk Hubungan Nonlinier dalam Penelitian Sosial dan Bisnis. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. Indonesia: Penerbit Andi. Available from: https://books. google.co.id/books/about/analisis\_sem\_pls\_dengan\_warppls\_7\_0\_ untu.html?id=nbmweaaaqbaj.redir\_esc=y
- Soewarno, N., Tjahjadi, B., Hanifah Firdausi, R. (2018), The impacts of carbon emission disclosure, environmental performance, and social performance on financial performance (Empirical studies in proper participating companies listed in Indonesia stocks exchange, year 2013-2016). KnE Social Sciences, 3(10), 957-971.
- Sze'kely, N., vom Brocke, J. (2017), What can we learn from corporate sustainability reporting? Deriving propositions for research and practice from over 9,500 corporate sustainability reports published between 1999 and 2015 using topic modelling technique. PLoS One, 12(4), e0174807.
- Tang, M., Walsh, G., Lerner, D., Fitza, M.A., Li, Q. (2018), Green innovation, managerial concern and firm performance: An empirical study. Business Strategy and the Environment, 27(1), 39-51.
- Tanikawa, T., Kim, S., Jung, Y. (2017), Top management team diversity and firm performance: Exploring a function of age. Team Performance Management, 23(3-4), 156-170.
- Tanobel. (2020), Grand Launching CLEO ECO Green-Inovasi Produk Ramah Lingkungan. Available from: https://tanobel.com/grandlaunching-cleo-eco-green-inovasi-produk-ramah-lingkungan
- Taouab, O., Issor, Z. (2019), Firm performance: Definition and measurement models. European Scientific Journal ESJ, 15(1), 93-106.
- Teece, D.J. (2019), A capability theory of the firm: An economics and (Strategic) management perspective. New Zealand Economic Papers, 53(1), 1-43.
- Terjesen, S., Aguilera, R.V, Lorenz, R. (2014), Legislating a woman's seat on the board : Institutional factors driving gender quotas for boards

of directors. Journal of Business Ethics, 128, 233-251.

- Trinks, A., Mulder, M., Scholtens, B. (2020), An efficiency perspective on carbon emissions and financial performance. Ecological Economics, 175, 106632.
- Vairavan, A., Zhang, G.P. (2020), Does a diverse board matter? A mediation analysis of board racial diversity and firm performance. Corporate Governance (Bingley), 20(7), 1223-1241.
- Vitolla, F., Raimo, N., Rubino, M. (2020), Board characteristics and integrated reporting quality: An agency theory perspective. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management, 27(2), 1152-1163.
- Wong, S.K.S. (2012), The influence of green product competitiveness on the success of green product innovation: Empirical evidence from the Chinese electrical and electronics industry. European Journal of Innovation Management, 15(4), 468-490.
- Xie, X., Huo, J., Zou, H. (2019), Green process innovation, green product innovation, and corporate financial performance: A content analysis method. Journal of Business Research, 101, 697-706.
- Xue, S.J., Hou, J., Gao, P.B. (2019), Business Model Innovation and Firm Performance: A Meta-Analysis. Conference: In: Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Management Science and Management Innovation (MSMI 2019).
- Xu, L., Fan, M., Yang, L., Shao, S. (2021), Heterogeneous green innovations and carbon emission performance: Evidence at China's city level. Energy Economics, 99, 105269.
- Yue, X.G., Liao, Y., Zheng, S., Shao, X., Gao, J. (2021), The role of green innovation and tourism towards carbon neutrality in Thailand: Evidence from bootstrap ADRL approach. Journal of Environmental Management, 292, 112778.
- Zhang, D., Rong, Z., Ji, Q. (2019), Green innovation and firm performance: Evidence from listed companies in China. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 144, 48-55.
- Zhang, S., Yu, Y., Zhu, Q., Qiu, C.M., Tian, A. (2020), Green innovation mode under carbon tax and innovation subsidy: An evolutionary game analysis for portfolio policies. Sustainability (Switzerland), 12(4), 1385.
- Zheng, S., He, C., Hsu, S.C., Sarkis, J. (2020), Corporate environmental performance prediction in China: An empirical study of energy service companies. Journal of Cleaner Production, 266, 121395.