DIGITALES ARCHIV

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Shang, Feifei; Kryzhska, Tetiana; Duan, Zhenhua

Article

Study on the effect of antioxidants on the quality and antioxidants capacity of duck sausages

Reference: Shang, Feifei/Kryzhska, Tetiana et. al. (2022). Study on the effect of antioxidants on the quality and antioxidants capacity of duck sausages. In: Technology audit and production reserves 3 (3/65), S. 36 - 42.

http://journals.uran.ua/tarp/article/download/260198/257406/600669.doi:10.15587/2706-5448.2022.260198.

This Version is available at: http://hdl.handle.net/11159/9007

Kontakt/Contact

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics Düsternbrooker Weg 120 24105 Kiel (Germany) E-Mail: rights[at]zbw.eu https://www.zbw.eu/econis-archiv/

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

https://zbw.eu/econis-archiv/termsofuse

Terms of use:

This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence.



UDC 664 DOI: 10.15587/2706-5448.2022.260198 Article type «Reports on Research Projects»

Feifei Shang, Tetiana Kryzhska, Zhenhua Duan

STUDY ON THE EFFECT OF ANTIOXIDANTS ON THE QUALITY AND ANTIOXIDANTS CAPACITY OF DUCK SAUSAGES

Areca taro is a plant of the Araceae family, which is rich in starch and dietary fiber. Taro is boiled and mashed to make a paste, which is called taro paste. The fat content of duck sausages is high, and the nutritional composition of sausages can be improved by adding taro puree and provide the special aroma of taro in the sausages. Thus, the research object is duck sausage with taro paste.

This study aimed to improve the quality and prevent oxidation of duck sausage with taro paste by adding ginger juice, onion juice and sodium erythorbate as safe antioxidants. The quality characteristics of sausages were evaluated by analyzing the cooking loss, colour, texture and sensory changes, and the optimal amount of antioxidants was obtained by analyzing the acid value, TBARS value, and DPPH free radical scavenging rate.

The research results show that adding D-sodium erythorbate to duck sausage can significantly reduce the hardness of the sausage, maintain the elasticity of the sausage, and effectively slow down the pH value of the sausage during the oxidation process. The water retention and oil retention of duck sausage maintain the brightness value of the sausage with D-sodium erythorbate has better antioxidant capacity. The acid value and TBARS value of the sausage added with D-sodium erythorbate at 18 h were significantly lower than those of the control group (P < 0.05), and the DPPH was significantly increased.

This technology can provide data support and reference for food processing companies. The taro paste would be widely used as food ingredients in future.

Keywords: Areca taro, taro paste, duck sausages, sausage quality, antioxidants.

Received date: 19.05.2022 Accepted date: 23.06.2022 Published date: 30.06.2022 © The Author(s) 2022 This is an open access article under the Creative Commons CC BY license

How to cite

Shang, F., Kryzhska, T., Duan, Z. (2022). Study on the effect of antioxidants on the quality and antioxidants capacity of duck sausages. Technology Audit and Production Reserves, 3 (3 (65)), 36–42. doi: http://doi.org/10.15587/2706-5448.2022.260198

1. Introduction

Meat products contain saturated fatty acids, cholesterol and other components. Excessive intake can easily lead to obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular disease and other health problems [1]. Sausages contain 20–30 % fat, which maintains the unique flavour and texture properties of sausages while increasing satiety. Plant raw materials contain a lot of dietary fiber and are often used by researchers to replace fat in sausages [2]. Researchers are more concerned about the taste and texture changes caused by fat replacement.

Taro is widely distributed in subtropical regions. Because it contains more starch and fibber, it is mashed into taro paste after processing and cooking. The taro paste is glutinous in texture and rich in flavour. It is often used to make milk tea and fillings [3]. Taro puree blends well with fat. Therefore, it is very innovative to use taro paste as a raw material for sausage processing and to replace part of the fat.

Meat is prone to oxidation during processing and storage and the main types of oxidation are lipid oxidation and protein oxidation. Oxidation will reduce the quality

of meat, including odour, discoloration, nutrient loss, and the formation of toxic substances. Eating spoiled meat will threaten human health and even life safety [4]. Therefore, it is very necessary to add some natural and safe antioxidants in the sausage making process.

Sodium erythorbate, as a new biological food antioxidant and preservative, has good antioxidant properties, high thermal stability, safety, non-toxicity and high efficiency. It is widely used in the processing of sausages, ham sausages, canned meat and other meat products. In order to delay the oxidative deterioration of the product, maintain the colour and flavour of the product, and prolong the shelf life of the product [5]. Authors of [6] found in the study that different amounts of sodium erythorbate were added, and their antioxidant effects were different. Adding 0.4 % sodium erythorbate could significantly reduce the TBARS value of fish, and its antioxidant effect was better than other groups. Authors of [7] added D-sodium erythorbate to sausages, and measured the oxidation index of sausages at different times. The results found that D-sodium erythorbate can effectively inhibit the oxidation of sausages, and the oxidation effect of sausages with an addition

amount of 0.1 %. It is better than the sausage with the addition amount of 0.05 % [7].

During processing and storage, the lipids and proteins of sausages will be oxidized, which will lead to product deterioration, produce odour and toxic substances, and seriously shorten the shelf life of the product. Therefore, in this study, duck sausage with taro paste was taken as *the research object*. *This study aimed* to improve the quality and prevent oxidation of duck sausage with taro paste by adding ginger juice, onion juice and sodium erythorbate as safe antioxidants.

2. Research methodology

Four treatments were set up in this study. In the duck meat and taro paste sausage, they were control group Y1, ginger juice group Y2, sodium erythorbate Y3, and sodium erythorbate+ginger juice compound group Y4. By measuring the accelerated oxidation test (0 h, 3 h, 6 h, 9 h, 12 h, 15 h, 18 h) the acid value, TBARS value, DPPH free radical scavenging rate, and sausage texture, colour, cooking loss and other indicators of sausages in each group to obtain the best taro Antioxidant formula of mud duck meat. To provide theoretical reference and data support for the application of antioxidants in duck taro sausage.

In this study, the characteristic fruit and vegetable Areca taro of south China was used as the experimental material. Firstly, the Areca taro was peeled, sliced, boiled and beaten, and then use hot air drying to dry the water content of taro paste to 50 %, set aside.

Sausage production process: peeling raw meat (duck breast, chicken breast) ground \rightarrow add accessories \rightarrow mix evenly \rightarrow enema \rightarrow place in a ventilated place to dry the surface moisture of casings \rightarrow oven 60 °C bake for 60 min \rightarrow \rightarrow after cooling, boil in 80–85 °C water for 30 min \rightarrow \rightarrow finished product.

Sausage formula composition: The design of duck sausage formula see Table 1. Among them, the addition of ginger juice in the duck sausage formula with sodium isoascorbate was adjusted by changing the amount of ice water, so as to ensure that the total weight of ginger juice and ice water in each group was 80 g. The accelerated oxidation test of sausage refers to the method of Yang Yifang et al. [8]. Sausages are placed in an oven at 50 °C for accelerated oxidation treatment, and the accelerated oxidation is 0 h, 3 h, 6 h, 9 h, 12 h, 15 h and 18 h, respectively.

Table 1

Duck-chicken sausage recipe with different additions
of mashed taro instead of potato starch

IJ:t_ (_)	Treatment						
Ingredients (g)	<i>Y</i> 1	<i>Y</i> 2	<i>Y</i> 3	<i>Y</i> 4			
Duck meat	600	600	600	600			
Chicken breast	400	400	400	400			
Taro paste	160	160	160	160			
Wheat bran	12	12	12	12			
Potato starch	70	70	70	70			
Rice wine	20	20	20	20			
Onion Juice	20	20	20	20			
Ice water	80	50	80	50			
Other ingredients	28	28	28	28			
Sodium D-isoascorbate	0	0	2	2			
Ginger Juice	0	30	0	30			

Note: other ingredients include 14 g of salt, 2 g of complex phosphate, 6 g of complex spices, 4 g of pepper and 2 g of beet red

Cooking loss: Refer to the method of Jiang Shuai [9]. Weigh 35 g minced meat into a 50 ml centrifuge tube and centrifuge (3000 rpm, 5 min) to remove air bubbles in the tube. Then, heat it in a water bath (75 °C, 30 min), cool the heated sample at room temperature for 1 hour, weigh it after cooling, and record its mass.

The calculation of cooking loss is shown in formula:

$$C = \frac{W_{rmb} - W_{cmb}}{W_{rmb}} \cdot 100 \%, \tag{1}$$

where C – cooking loss (%); W_{rmb} – weight of raw meat batters (g); W_{cmb} – weight of cooked meat batters (g).

Emulsion stability: Pour the liquid lost during cooking (centrifuge tube upside down for 40 minutes) into a glass dish. Moisture loss is the weight of the liquid lost by cooking and drying after heating at 105 °C for 16 hours, while fat loss is the mass of the sample remaining after the drying of the liquid lost by cooking [9]. The calculation of water loss and fat loss is shown in formulas:

$$M_{l} = \frac{W_{cl} - R_{wah}}{W_{rmb}} \cdot 100 \%, \tag{2}$$

$$F_l = \frac{R_{wah}}{W_{mh}} \cdot 100 \%, \tag{3}$$

where M_l – moisture loss (%); W_{cl} – weight of cooking liquid; R_{wah} – remaining weight after heating; W_{rmb} – weight of raw meat batter; F_l – fat loss (%).

Determination of color: Remove the casing of the sausage cooled to room temperature and cut it into a 2 cm cylinder. Use CR-400 color difference meter (Changzhou Shoufeng Instrument Technology Co., LTD, China) for determination. Calibrate the sausage with white board before determination.

Hardness and elasticity measurement: Referring to the method of Chen Yichun et al. [10], the sausage casing was first peeled off and cut into a 2 cm high cylinder. Specific measurement parameters were set as follows: measurement mode was TPA, probe model was P/50, pre-measurement rate was 5 mm/s, test and post-measurement rates were 1 mm/s, compression ratio was 50 %, and trigger force was 5 g.

Determination of acid value: Refer to the method of Li Yalei et al. [11]. Add 50 mL neutral diethyl ether-ethanol (2:1) solution, mix well, add 3 drops phenolphthalein, and titrate with 0.05 mol/L potassium hydroxide standard solution. The mixture in the conical bottle appears reddish and does not fade within 30 s, which is the end point of titration. Record the consumed volume of the standard solution. The calculation formula of acid value is as follows:

$$A_v = \frac{v \cdot c \cdot 56.1}{m},\tag{4}$$

where A_v – acid value (mg/kg); v is the volume (mL) of potassium hydroxide standard solution consumed by the sample for determination; c is the concentration (mol/L) of potassium hydroxide standard solution; m is the weight (g) of the sample; 56.1 is the molar mass (g/mol) of potassium hydroxide.

TBARS measurement: Refer to the method of Li Yalei et al. [11] and make slight changes. 10 g of the sample was ground, and 50 mL of 7.5 % trichloroacetic acid solution was added. The sample was placed in a shaking table and mixed

evenly for 30 min. Then the supernatant of the sample was extracted with double-layer qualitative filter paper. 5 mL supernatant was taken into the test tube, 5 mL thiobarbituric acid solution was added and placed in a water bath at 90 °C for 40 min. After taking out, the solution was placed in room temperature for cooling. Centrifuge speed was set at 4000 r/min and centrifuged for 5 min.

DPPH determination: The free clearance rate of DPPH (1, 1-diphenyl-2-trinitrophenylhydrazine) was determined by referring to Sridhar Kandi's method [12, 13]: 2.0 mL of extract was accurately removed and 1, 1-diphenyl-2-trinitrophenylhydrazine solution (2.0 mL) was added to avoid light reaction. The absorbance value A1 (517 nm) was determined after 20 min. Under the same conditions, the absorbance value of the control group was A2 (anhydrous ethanol instead of DPPH), and that of the blank group was A0 (anhydrous ethanol instead of sample). Calculate sample pairs according to the following formula DPPH free radical scavenging rate:

$$DPPH = \left[1 - \frac{A1 - A2}{A0}\right] \cdot 100 \%, \tag{5}$$

where A0 is the absorption value of the blank group; A1 is the absorption value of the sample; A2 is the absorption value of the control group.

Each data was measured three times, and the results were expressed in the form of «mean±standard deviation».

Excel was used for data statistical processing, SPSS 25 was used for single factor analysis (ANOVA), Duncan method was used for significance difference test, and Origin software was used for plotting.

3. Research results and discussion

The results of cooking loss were shown in Table 2. The results showed that at 0 h, compared with the control group Y1, the cooking loss of sausages in Y2 and Y4 groups was significantly increased (P < 0.05), while the cooking loss of sausages in Y3 group was significantly decreased (P < 0.05). The cooking loss of sausages in Y1 and Y3 groups showed a gentle downward trend as a whole, while the cooking loss of sausages in Y2 and Y4 groups decreased sharply at 12 h, suggesting that the addition of D-isoascorbate sodium could reduce the cooking loss of sausages, while the addition of ginger juice could increase the cooking loss of sausages.

The changes of emulsifying stability of duck sausage were shown in Table 3 and Table 4. At 0 h, compared with the control group Y1, the moisture loss of sausage in Y2 and Y4 groups was significantly increased, while that in Y3 group was the lowest (P < 0.05). There was no significant difference in fat loss in Y2 and Y3 groups at 0 h (P < 0.05). These results indicate that the addition of D-isoascorbate sodium can improve the water loss and fat loss of sausage, and improve the emulsifying stability of sausage.

Changes in cooking loss (\mathcal{C}) during accelerated oxidation of duck sausage

Treatment				Cooking loss			
	0 h	3 h	6 h	9 h	12 h	15 h	18 h
Y1	0.24 ± 0.02°	0.16±0.02°	0.11±0.00°	0.08 ± 0.02^{d}	0.07 ± 0.02^{b}	0.05±0.02ª	0.04 ± 0.02ª
<i>Y</i> 2	0.66 ± 0.00°	0.61 ± 0.02ª	0.54 ± 0.03ª	0.47 ± 0.01ª	0.14±0.03ª	0.07 ± 0.01ª	0.04±0.01ª
<i>Y</i> 3	0.18 ± 0.02^{d}	0.15±0.02°	0.13 ± 0.02°	0.12±0.02°	0.08 ± 0.02^{b}	0.07 ± 0.02ª	0.04 ± 0.02ª
<i>Y</i> 4	0.53 ± 0.02 ^b	0.42±0.02 ^b	0.41 ± 0.02^{b}	0.37 ± 0.03^{b}	0.12±0.02ª	0.07 ± 0.01ª	0.05±0.01ª

Note: different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P < 0.05)

Changes in moisture loss (M_l) during accelerated oxidation of duck sausage

		-									
Treatment		Moisture loss									
	0 h	3 h	6 h	9 h	12 h	15 h	18 h				
<i>Y</i> 1	0.17 ± 0.00°	0.10±0.02°	0.08 ± 0.02°	0.06±0.00°	0.05±0.02 ^b	0.04±0.02ª	0.03 ± 0.00ª				
<i>Y</i> 2	0.56±0.02ª	0.54 ± 0.00ª	0.48±0.02ª	0.42±0.03ª	0.10±0.02ª	0.05 ± 0.01ª	0.03±0.01ª				
<i>Y</i> 3	0.14 ± 0.00^{d}	0.11±0.03°	0.10±0.02°	0.09 ± 0.03°	0.05±0.02 ^b	0.06±0.00ª	0.03±0.00ª				
<i>Y</i> 4	0.47 ± 0.02^{b}	0.37 ± 0.03^{b}	0.37 ± 0.00^{b}	0.33 ± 0.02 ^b	0.10±0.02ª	0.05±0.01ª	0.04±0.01ª				

Note: different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P<0.05)

Changes in fat loss (F_l) during accelerated oxidation of duck sausage

Fat loss Treatment 3 h 12 h 15 h 18 h *Y*1 0.07 ± 0.02^{ab} $0.06 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$ $0.04\pm0.02^{\mathrm{a}}$ $0.02 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$ $0.02 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$ 0.01 ± 0.02^{a} 0.01 ± 0.02 a *Y*2 0.10 ± 0.02^{a} 0.07 ± 0.02^a 0.07 ± 0.02^{6} $0.05 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$ $0.04 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$ 0.02 ± 0.02^{a} 0.01 ± 0.01^a Y:3 0.04 ± 0.02^{b} 0.04 ± 0.02^a 0.04 ± 0.02^{a} 0.04 ± 0.02^a 0.03 ± 0.00^{a} 0.01 ± 0.02^{a} 0.01 ± 0.02^a 0.02 ± 0.02^{a} 0.07 ± 0.02^{ab} 0.05 ± 0.02^{a} 0.04 ± 0.02^{a} 0.04 ± 0.02 0.02 ± 0.02^{a} 0.01 ± 0.02^{a}

Note: different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P<0.05)

Table 3

Table 4

Table 2

In conclusion, the addition of D-isoascorbate sodium can improve the water retention, oil retention and emulsification stability of duck meat sausage, and improve the quality characteristics of sausage.

The color value can measure the quality of meat products. The changes of the color value of sausage (L^*, A^*, B^*) were shown in Tables 5–7.

 L^* represents the brightness value of the product. The larger the L^* value, the brighter the sausage; the smaller the L^* value, the darker the sausage. As can be seen from Table 5, L^* values of the four groups of sausages showed an overall downward trend, indicating that the oxidation degree of sausages became more serious with the extension of accelerated oxidation time. Compared with the control group, the sausage added with D-isoascorbate sodium (Y3 group) had the least change in L^* , followed by the sausage added with ginger juice combined with D-isoascorbate sodium (Y4 group), indicating that the addition of D-isoascorbate sodium can better maintain the brightness of the sausage.

 A^* represents the redness value of the product. The larger the value is, the sausage is red; otherwise, it is green. As can be seen from Table 6, the A^* value of sausages in group Y3 was higher than that in group Y1 in the whole accelerated oxidation process. In the accelerated oxidation process, a^* of each group showed a decreasing trend. At 0 h, the levels of Y3 group were significantly higher than those of the other

three groups (P<0.05), which indicated that the addition of D-isoascorbic acid could maintain the color of sausage. Group Y2 had the lowest A^* , which may be due to the ginger color of the ginger juice itself affecting the color of the sausage.

 B^* represents the yellowness value of the product. The higher the value is, the more yellow the sausage is; otherwise, the bluer the sausage is. It can be seen from Table 7 that the B^* value of sausages in the four groups fluctuated between 11 and 14. At 18 h, there was no significant difference in the B^* value of sausages in each group, among which the B^* value of group Y3 was the lowest, while the B^* value of group Y3 was the lowest, while the three groups, which may be due to the ginger color of ginger juice, thus affecting the yellowness of sausages. The results showed that sodium D-isoascorbate could delay the oxidation and keep the good color of sausage during the accelerated oxidation process.

Texture is an important index to evaluate the quality of meat products. Table 8 and Table 9 respectively showed the changes of hardness and elasticity of sausages in each group during accelerated oxidation. As shown in the Table 9, compared with control group Y1, adding antioxidant substances can significantly reduce the hardness and elasticity of sausage (P < 0.05), and the value of sausage in group Y3 is closer to that in group Y1, followed by group Y2.

Changes of L^* in accelerated oxidation of duck sausage

Table 5

Treatment				L*			
Treatment	0 h	3 h	6 h	9 h	12 h	15 h	18 h
<i>Y</i> 1	64.70 ± 0.63^{b}	64.56±0.37ª	64.01 ± 0.13^{b}	62.90±0.30ª	61.55±2.37ª	59.28±0.66 ^b	56.44 ± 1.14^{b}
<i>Y</i> 2	66.01 ± 0.29 ^a	64.03±0.17 ^b	64.70±0.16ª	62.14±0.16 ^b	61.71±0.10 ^a	60.64±0.81ª	58.50±0.50ª
<i>Y</i> 3	63.47±0.12°	63.43±0.12°	61.23±0.10 ^d	63.18±0.18ª	62.91±0.21ª	60.06±0.64ab	59.32±0.48ª
<i>Y</i> 4	63.54±0.10°	62.46±0.18 ^d	62.38±0.24°	62.95±0.15ª	62.11±0.37ª	60.42±0.64ª	58.97 ± 0.28ª

Note: different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P < 0.05)

Changes of a^* in accelerated oxidation of duck sausage

Table 6

Treatment				a*			
11 eatment	0 h	3 h	6 h	9 h	12 h	15 h	18 h
<i>Y</i> 1	9.20±0.28°	9.76±0.12°	8.79 ± 0.16^{b}	8.06±0.28°	7.96±0.10°	7.13±0.04°	7.70±0.18ª
<i>Y</i> 2	7.31 ± 0.09^{d}	7.28 ± 0.15^d	8.07 ± 0.07°	8.08±0.13°	8.35±0.15°	6.88±0.10°	6.80 ± 0.73^{b}
<i>Y</i> 3	12.00±0.34ª	10.76±0.11ª	10.83±0.29ª	10.18±0.10ª	9.12±0.24 ^b	9.06±0.16ª	8.15±0.42ª
<i>Y</i> 4	11.45±0.33 ^b	10.32±0.24 ^b	10.79±0.11ª	9.73±0.17 ^b	9.92±0.41ª	8.14±0.43 ^b	7.86±0.34ª

Note: different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P < 0.05)

Table 7

Changes of b^* in accelerated oxidation of duck sausage

Treatment				b*			
	0 h	3 h	6 h	9 h	12 h	15 h	18 h
<i>Y</i> 1	12.16 ± 0.12^{ab}	12.70±0.07ª	12.33±0.39ª	12.57 ± 0.16 ^{ab}	12.99±0.52ª	13.05±0.16 ^b	12.25±1.06ª
YZ	12.51 ± 0.10^a	12.36±0.22ª	12.63±0.16ª	12.72±0.19ª	12.75±0.06ª	13.67 ± 0.27ª	13.04±0.28ª
<i>Y</i> 3	11.95 ± 0.07 ^b	12.38 ± 0.07ª	11.75±0.32 ^b	12.40±0.48 ^{ab}	12.08±0.39ª	12.57±0.11 ^b	11.74±1.59ª
Y4	11.77 ± 0.37^b	10.65 ± 0.38^{b}	12.45±0.03ª	12.10±0.27 ^b	12.65 ± 1.02ª	12.62±0.49 ^b	12.86±0.65ª

Note: different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P < 0.05)

0 h

 0.85 ± 0.03^{a}

 $0.73 + 0.01^{b}$

 0.83 ± 0.01^{a}

 0.68 ± 0.07^{b}

Treatment

*Y*1

Y2 Y3

*Y*4

Table 8

Table 9

 0.82 ± 0.01^{b}

Duck sausage accelerates the change in hardness during oxidation

Treat-	Hardness, N								
ment	0 h	3 h	6 h	9 h	12 h	15 h	18 h		
<i>Y</i> 1	7711.98±236.01ª	9345.14±39.51ª	10474.60±407.13ª	10994.38±474.39ª	11948.30±565.13ª	16553.41±750.76ª	18171.63±48.55ª		
<i>Y</i> 2	5372.17±93.25°	8175.06±474.74 ^b	8701.91±186.04 ^b	9177.80±876.23 ^b	9377.24±437.44 ^b	11117.87±1380.22b	12445.76±1331.83 ^b		
<i>Y</i> 3	6078.62±107.59b	8579.89±152.80 ^b	9038.24±288.89 ^b	10079.42±683.89ab	11804.25±1600.67ª	15343.77±433.56ª	18583.34±1248.98ª		
<i>Y</i> 4	3916.46±171.60 ^d	4770.97±54.94°	5365.27 ± 281.38°	7300.15±423.05°	8022.55±318.84 ^b	11020.07±1019.66 ^b	10591.80±404.94°		

Note: different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P < 0.05)

Changes in elasticity during oxidation of duck sausage are accelerated

 $0.79 \pm 0.03^{\circ}$

Elasticity 12 h 18 h 3 h 6 h 15 h 0.80 ± 0.01^{ab} 0.79 ± 0.02° $0.77 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$ $0.89 \pm 0.08^{\circ}$ 0.85 ± 0.01^a 0.82 ± 0.00^{b} 0.86 ± 0.00^{a} $0.75 + 0.03^{b}$ 0.85 ± 0.05 0.78 + 0.060.86 + 0.02 0.82 ± 0.04^{a} 0.84 ± 0.03^{a} 0.80 ± 0.03^{a} 0.83 ± 0.03 ab 0.81 ± 0.01^{b} $0.79 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$

 0.78 ± 0.03^{b}

Note: different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P < 0.05)

 0.77 ± 0.04^{a}

 0.77 ± 0.02^{ab}

It can be seen from Table 8 that with the extension of accelerated oxidation time, the hardness of sausages in each group presents an increasing trend, which may be due to the water loss of sausages caused by accelerated oxidation treatment at 50 °C, which leads to the gradual increase in the hardness of meat products. During the whole oxidation process, compared with the control group Y1, the hardness of sausage in Y2 and Y4 groups was significantly decreased (P < 0.05), while the hardness of sausage in Y3 group was significantly different from that in Y1 group from 0 to 6 h, and was not significantly different from that in the control group afterwards, and surpassed that in the control group at 18 h.

As can be seen from Table 9, at 0 h, compared with the control group Y1, the elasticity of sausages in Y2 and Y4 groups was significantly reduced (P < 0.05), while there was no significant difference between Y3 group and the control group (P > 0.05), indicating that D-isoascorbate sodium could maintain the elasticity of sausages. There was no significant difference in the elasticity of sausages among all groups at 3-12 h (P > 0.05), and at 18 h, the elasticity of sausages in Y3 group was the lowest, which may be due to the loose internal structure of sausages caused by severe water loss, which reduced the elasticity of sausages.

The change results of acid value in the accelerated oxidation process of duck sausage were shown in Table 10. In the process of accelerated oxidation, the acid value of sausages in each group showed a trend of gradual increase, indicating that the oxidation of sausages became more and more serious, and fat was continuously degraded to form fatty acids and accumulated. The results showed that there was no significant difference in the acid value of sausage between the treatment groups and the control group Y1 in the early stage (P > 0.05), and the change was not significant, indicating that the antioxidant effect was not obvious in the early stage. As the extension of oxidation time, the treatment group increased, when 18 h, the acid value of sausage had significant difference (P < 0.05), which the acid value of sausage Y3 group are among the lowest in the whole process of oxidation, the second is the Y2 groups, suggesting that D – different ascorbic acid sodium and ginger can effectively restrain the production of free fatty acids, in order to delay the oxidation of sausage.

 0.82 ± 0.01^{b}

However, after the combination of the two, its antioxidant effect was reduced, which may be due to the improper proportion of the combination. The acid value of sodium isascorbate was the best among the three treatment groups.

Table 10
Change of acid value during accelerated oxidation of duck sausage

Treatment				Acid value, mg/kg			
ii eatilielit	0 h	3 h	6 h	9 h	12 h	15 h	18 h
<i>Y</i> 1	1.79±0.11ª	1.83±0.13ª	1.95 ± 0.07ª	2.05±0.12ª	2.23±0.05ª	2.92±0.09ª	3.50±0.13ª
Y2	1.77 ± 0.09ª	1.81±0.09ª	1.85±0.06 ^{ab}	1.99±0.09ab	2.02±0.11 ^b	2.28 ± 0.14 ^{bc}	2.79±0.11°
<i>Y</i> 3	1.62±0.06ª	1.66±0.09ª	1.74 ± 0.06^{b}	1.85±0.06 ^b	1.96±0.06 ^b	2.13±0.11°	2.43±0.06 ^d
<i>Y</i> 4	1.68±0.11ª	1.69±0.09ª	1.76±0.06 ^b	1.96±0.06ªb	2.09±0.09ªb	2.48±0.09 ^b	3.01 ± 0.11 ^b

Note: different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P < 0.05)

Treatment

Y1 Y2

Y:3

*Y*4

0 h

 0.49 ± 0.00^{a}

 $0.40 \pm 0.02^{\circ}$

 $0.39 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$

 $\Pi 46 + \Pi \Pi 1^{b}$

The TBARS results were shown in Table 11. The TBARS values of sausages in the four groups show a gradually rising trend, and the TBARS values of sausages in the three treatment groups are significantly lower than those in the control group Y1 during the whole oxidation process (P < 0.05). At 0 h, the TBARS values of sausages in Y2 and Y3 groups were significantly decreased compared with the control group (P < 0.05), while the TBARS values of sausages in Y4 group were also significantly different from those in Y1 group, but the difference was not significant, indicating that ginger juice and D-isoascorbate sodium could both inhibit lipid oxidation of sausages, but the combination of the two would reduce the antioxidant capacity of sausages. There was no significant difference between the treatment groups at 3–12 h (P > 0.05), but there was a significant difference between the treatment groups and the control group at Y1 (P < 0.05). At 18 h, the TBARS value of sausage in Y3 group was significantly lower than that in control group Y1, treatment group Y2 and Y4 (P<0.05). Compared with the TBARS values of the four groups, the sausages in Y3 group have better antioxidant capacity, that was, D-isoascorbate sodium can effectively inhibit the lipid oxidation of sausages and prolong the storage time of sausages.

Table 12 showed the results of *DPPH* free radical scavenging ability of duck meat sausage. In the oxidation process, *DPPH* free radical scavenging rate of the four groups of sausages showed a decreasing trend as a whole.

The clearance rate of Y2 and Y3 groups was not significantly different from that of control group Y1 at 0-6 h (P>0.05), and then was significantly different from that of control group Y1 (P<0.05). However, there was no significant difference between Y1 and Y4 group at 0 and 3 h, and there were significant differences between Y1 group and Y4 group at 0 h and 3 h. At 18 h, the DPPH free radical scavenging rate of the three treatment groups was higher than that of the control group, and the scavenging rate of group Y3 was slightly lower than that of group Y2 and group Y4. These results indicated that ginger juice, D-isoascorbate so-

dium and ginger juice combined with D-isoascorbate sodium could inhibit the oxidation of sausage well in the early stage, but the antioxidant capacity of sausage in the three groups decreased gradually in the late stage, but was significantly higher than that in the control group Y1 (P < 0.05).

In theory, ginger juice can not only remove the fishy smell of duck meat and improve the taste of sausage, but also have antioxidant effects. However, the antioxidant capacity of erythorbic acid+ginger juice treatment group (Y4) in this study is not the strongest, especially TBARS. The results are not ideal. In addition, the total number of colonies and *E. coli* counts of the sausage were not tested, which is the work that needs to be done in the next stage.

4. Conclusions

Adding D-isoascorbate sodium to duck sausage can significantly reduce the cooking loss of sausage, improve the water retention, oil retention and emulsification stability of duck sausage, and improve the quality of sausage. The addition of D-isoascorbate sodium cannot improve the brightness value of sausage, but can increase the redness value of sausage, reduce the yellow value of sausage, and maintain the brightness and good color of sausage in the oxidation process can significantly reduce the hardness of sausage and maintain the elasticity of sausage.

In addition, the sausage supplemented with D-iso-ascorbate had better antioxidant capacity, and the acid value and TBARS value of the sausage supplemented with D-isoascorbate were significantly lower than those of the control group at 18 h (P<0.05), which significantly improved the scavenging ability of DPPH free radical. In conclusion, D-isoascorbate sodium can improve the quality of sausage, but also has good antioxidant capacity.

This study provides a possibility for the application of potato paste in sausage and provides data support for the selection of antioxidant of potato paste sausage.

 0.63 ± 0.01^{b}

Change of TBARS value during accelerated oxidation of duck sausage

TBARS, mg/100 g 3 h 6 h 9 h 12 h 15 h 18 h 0.58 ± 0.00^{a} 0.60 ± 0.02^{a} 0.64 ± 0.00^{a} 0.68 ± 0.00^{a} 0.71 ± 0.02^a 0.85 ± 0.02^{a} 0.45 ± 0.00^{b} 0.48 ± 0.01^{b} 0.52 ± 0.01^{b} 0.56 ± 0.00^{b} $0.58 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$ $0.68 \pm 0.01^{\circ}$ Π 46 + Π Π Π 0.47 ± 0.00^{b} 0.51 ± 0.01^{b} $0.54 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$ $0.57 \pm 0.00^{\circ}$ 0.64 ± 0.01^d

 0.55 ± 0.01 bc

Note: different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P < 0.05)

 $0.46 + 0.02^{b}$

Changes of DPPH free radical scavenging rate during accelerated oxidation of duck sausage

 0.48 ± 0.00^{b}

 0.52 ± 0.01^{b}

Table 12

 0.76 ± 0.00^{b}

Table 11

Treatment			DPPH fre	e radical scavengin	g rate, %		
ii eatilielit	0 h	3 h	6 h	9 h	12 h	15 h	18 h
Y1	93.29 ± 0.12^{ab}	90.58±0.98ª	92.50±0.40 ^b	92.72 ± 0.12^a	85.94±1.68 ^b	84.21 ± 0.49^{b}	73.61±0.78°
YZ	93.69±0.28ª	90.54 ± 2.02ª	92.69±0.15 ^b	92.24 ± 0.24^{b}	92.34±0.18ª	89.04±0.41ª	88.96±0.49ª
<i>Y</i> 3	93.57±0.61ª	91.77±0.54ª	92.83±0.13 ^{ab}	90.81 ± 0.03°	91.37 ± 0.07ª	89.48 ± 0.32^a	85.78±0.14 ^b
<i>Y</i> 4	92.65±0.28 ^b	91.61±0.32ª	93.17±0.13ª	89.50 ± 0.27^{d}	92.28±0.08ª	89.30 ± 0.76ª	88.40±0.83ª

Note: different letters in the same column indicate significant difference (P < 0.05)

References

- Choi, Y.-S., Kim, H.-W., Hwang, K.-E., Song, D.-H., Jeong, T.-J., Kim, Y.-B. et. al. (2015). Effects of fat levels and rice bran fiber on the chemical, textural, and sensory properties of frankfurters. Food Science and Biotechnology, 24 (2), 489–495. doi: http:// doi.org/10.1007/s10068-015-0064-5
- Zaini, H. B. M., Sintang, M. D. B., Pindi, W. (2020). The roles of banana peel powders to alter technological functionality, sensory and nutritional quality of chicken sausage. Food Science & Nutrition, 8 (10), 5497–5507. doi: http://doi.org/10.1002/fsn3.1847
- 3. Sun, D. Y., Shang, F. F., Pan, Z. T., Xie, Y. H., Liu, Y. (2020). Influence on the effect of electron beam irradiation on Bin-LangYu storage. *Journal of food and machinery*, 4 (8), 135–140. doi: http://doi.org/10.13652/j.iSSN.1003-5788.2020.08.024
- Xu, H. J., Zhou, B. (2022). Research progress on oxidation control methods of meat and meat products. *Preservation and Processing*, 22 (3), 111–120. Available at: https://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/ detail.aspx?FileName=BXJG202203016&DbName=CJFQ2022
- Sun, W., Zhou, F., Sun, D.-W., Zhao, M. (2012). Effect of Oxidation on the Emulsifying Properties of Myofibrillar Proteins. Food and Bioprocess Technology, 6 (7), 1703–1712. doi: http://doi.org/10.1007/s11947-012-0823-8
- 6. Qing, M. M., Chen, P., Zang, J. N. (2021). Effects of compound antioxidant on quality of frozen yellow Croaker Fillet. Preservation and Processing, 21 (2), 13–19. doi: http://doi.org/110.3969/j.issn.1009-6221.2021.02.003
- Shang, X. L., Chen, Z. X., Shan, X. T., Li, Y., Liu, Y. Y. (2020). Effects of sodium d-isoascorbate on lipid and protein oxidation in salami. Food research and development, 41 (6), 38–42. Available at: https://kns.cnki.net/kcms/detail/detail.aspx?FileName=SPYK202006010&DbName=CJFQ2020
- 8. Yang, Y. F., Luo, M. N., Hu, Q. H. et. al. (2022). Comparative analysis of three methods for accelerated lipid oxidation. *Food Science*, 43 (6), 337–346.
- Jiang, S., Niu, H. L., Liu, Q. et. al. (2018). Effect of curdlan and water addition on quality characteristics of frankfurters. Food Science, 39 (14), 57-66. doi: http://doi.org/10.7506/ spkx1002-6630-201814009

- 10. Chen, Y. C., Jiang, S., Cao, C. A., Chen, J. X., Kong, B. H., Liu, Q. (2019). Evaluation of the Quality of Frankfurters Prepared with Highly Stable Vegetable Oil-in-Water Pre-Emulsion as a Partial Replacer of Pork Back Fat. Food Science, 40, 86–93. doi: http://doi.org/10.7506/spkx1002-6630-20180906-060
- Li, Y. L., Luo, C., Fan, Y. L., Ju, N. (2016). Study on antioxidant effect of extracts of shallot on mutton sausage. Food research and development, 37 (9), 6–10. doi: http://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1005-6521.2016.09.002
- Kandi, S., Charles, A. L. (2019). Statistical comparative study between the conventional DPPH spectrophotometric and dropping DPPH analytical method without spectrophotometer: Evaluation for the advancement of antioxidant activity analysis. Food Chemistry, 287, 338–345. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/ i.foodchem.2019.02.110
- 13. Wang, Y., Jia, J., Ren, X., Li, B., Zhang, Q. (2018). Extraction, preliminary characterization and in vitro antioxidant activity of polysaccharides from Oudemansiella radicata mushroom. *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 120, 1760–1769. doi: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijbiomac.2018.09.209

Feifei Shang, Postgraduate Student, Department of Food Technology, Sumy National Agrarian University, Sumy, Ukraine; School of Food and Bioengineering, Hezhou University, Hezhou, China, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7648-9568

Tetiana Kryzhska, PhD, Senior Lecturer, Department of Technology and Food Safety, Sumy National Agrarian University, Sumy, Ukraine, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7151-9799

☑ Zhenhua Duan, School of Food and Bioengineering, Hezhou University, Hezhou, China, e-mail: dzh65@126.com, ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9283-3629

⊠ Corresponding author