

Inglesi-Lotz, Roula; Oosthuizen, Anna Maria; Jumaniyazova, Sharifa et al.

## Article

# Exploring the impact of women governance on CO2 emissions in the European Union and Central Asia

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy

## Provided in Cooperation with:

International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy (IJEEP)

*Reference:* Inglesi-Lotz, Roula/Oosthuizen, Anna Maria et. al. (2024). Exploring the impact of women governance on CO2 emissions in the European Union and Central Asia. In: International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy 14 (3), S. 639 - 646.

<https://www.econjournals.com/index.php/ijEEP/article/download/15933/7929/37253>.

doi:10.32479/ijEEP.15933.

This Version is available at:

<http://hdl.handle.net/11159/653679>

## Kontakt/Contact

ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft/Leibniz Information Centre for Economics  
Düsternbrooker Weg 120  
24105 Kiel (Germany)  
E-Mail: [rights\[at\]zbw.eu](mailto:rights[at]zbw.eu)  
<https://www.zbw.eu/econis-archiv/>

## Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Dieses Dokument darf zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden. Sie dürfen dieses Dokument nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, aufführen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen. Sofern für das Dokument eine Open-Content-Lizenz verwendet wurde, so gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

<https://zbw.eu/econis-archiv/termsfuse>

## Terms of use:

*This document may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes. You are not to copy it for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the document in public, to perform, distribute or otherwise use the document in public. If the document is made available under a Creative Commons Licence you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the licence.*



# Exploring the Impact of Women Governance on CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions in the European Union and Central Asia

Roula Inglesi-Lotz<sup>1</sup>, Anna Maria Oosthuizen<sup>1</sup>, Sharifa Jumaniyazova<sup>2\*</sup>, Bekhzod Kuziboev<sup>2,3,4</sup>, Jie Liu<sup>5,6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Economics, University of Pretoria, Hatfield 0028, Pretoria, South Africa, <sup>2</sup>Department of Economics, Urgench State University, Urgench, 220100, Uzbekistan, <sup>3</sup>University of Tashkent for Applied Sciences, Str. Gavhar 1, Tashkent 100149, Uzbekistan, <sup>4</sup>Department of Trade, Tourism and Languages, University of South Bohemia, Studentská 13, 37005 Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic, <sup>5</sup>Center for Energy Environmental Management and Decision-making, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, 430074, China, <sup>6</sup>School of Economics and Management, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, 430074, China.

\*Email: [sharifa171189@gmail.com](mailto:sharifa171189@gmail.com)

Received: 24 January 2024

Accepted: 11 April 2024

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.15933>

## ABSTRACT

The study is novel to contribute to the literature investigating the relationship among CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, women governance, economic development, fossil fuel energy consumption and renewable energy consumption, using a balanced panel dataset of 27 European Union and 4 Central Asian countries over the period 1996-2020. As econometric tools, panel quantile and threshold regression models are employed. Overall, the quantile results document that women governance help to mitigate climate change both in European Union and Central Asia. Moreover, threshold findings suggest that women governance negatively impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in European Union and Central Asia when economic growth is higher than 9.903%. Policy implications are proposed to enhance women governance in European Union and Central Asia.

**Keywords:** Women Governance, CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions, Quantile Regression, Threshold Regression, European Union, Central Asia

**JEL Classifications:** Q43, Q53

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Global emphasis has shifted in recent decades to the critical need for sustainable development and environmental care. The realisation that the state of our environment is closely linked to various aspects of human activity is central to this discourse and that tackling environmental degradation requires a broad and inclusive strategy. Climate change is a serious and imminent problem that requires immediate policy responses (Stern, 2008). While admitting the crucial importance of political commitment in resolving this global crisis, the mechanisms causing significant differences in such pledges among countries remain unknown. This research focuses on the significance of political identity, with a particular emphasis on the gender of politicians. Our investigation tries to determine whether the existence of female participation

in political decision-making significantly contributes to global climate change policy initiatives.

Women's representation in parliaments across Europe and Central Asia has witnessed growth over the past 25 years, albeit with modest increases in the last decade (UNDP, 2020; World Bank, 2022). In 2019, Europe and Central Asia boasted the highest proportion of women in parliament, averaging 29% (World Bank, 2022). The rise in women's representation is accompanied by improvements in the World Bank's Women, Business, and Law Index. Regarding ministerial portfolios, women prominently lead in human rights, gender equality, and social protection portfolios. Despite being underrepresented, women hold noteworthy positions in other crucial areas, constituting 32% in the environment, 30% in public administration, and 30% in education portfolios (UN Women, 2023).

Female political representation leads to adopting of a more stringent climate change policy, showing lower carbon dioxide emissions (Mavisakalyan and Tarverdi, 2019). Furthermore, the governance quality level has important implications for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reduction and the role of female leadership in these efforts (Lv et al., 2022). Women typically demonstrate greater awareness of climate change, environmental issues, and pro-environmental behaviour (Salamon, 2022). Despite similar levels of environmental concern between male and female legislators in the European Union, women displayed a notably higher inclination for endorsing environmental legislation, even after controlling for political ideology and nationality (Ramstetter and Habersack, 2019).

The role of women in governance has become more prominent and is considered a severe factor towards the success (or not) of environmental policies and programmes. Recognising the aspects of governance is not enough as understanding the specific contributions of women is important. UNDP (2023) stated specifically that the inclusion of women in decision-making can improve the adoption of climate change measures. The purpose of this study is to quantify the relationship between women's participation in governance and environmental degradation, providing insights on the potential of gender-inclusive decision-making processes to foster long-term solutions for the benefit of current and future generations.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

There is a growing realization that the interplay of human activity and complex environmental factors in an ever-changing environment requires elaborate planning given their central role in behaviour-sustainable formation in female perspectives and leadership styles need to be recognized and actively welcomed as part of this holistic approach. Women have consistently demonstrated a unique ability to break down barriers, build networks, and lead community-based efforts that produce long-term positive impacts (Anwar et al., 2020).

This remarkable achievement in their structural reform campaign is evident, as women leaders have been instrumental in supporting ongoing development. Women have also led the way in the development of renewable energy technologies, demonstrating their commitment to innovative and environmentally friendly solutions (UN Women UNIDO, 2023). Active support for conservation enhances their impact on sustainable development by helping to preserve our natural resources (James et al., 2021). On the other hand, the positive effects of conservation do not always benefit women and may perpetuate existing inequalities if women are not taken into account (James et al., 2021).

As per Ramstetter et al. (2019), the continuous underrepresentation of women in legislative bodies leads to environmental policies being unduly influenced by men's preferences, notwithstanding women's stronger support for endorsing environmental legislation. Women have a critical role in leading society toward a more peaceful and sustainable future, where environmental issues are woven smoothly into the fabric of decision-making and progress

by recognising and harnessing their particular leadership skills (Balabantaray, 2023).

Women in leadership positions emerge as a dynamic force in the expansive area of sustainable development, delicately intertwining creativity, inclusivity, and resilience. Including women in leadership roles has a positive impact on environmental and social performance and enhances the quantity, quality, and transparency of sustainability disclosure (Bannò et al., 2021). A careful review of the above statistics demonstrates indisputably that the facilitation and development of women's leadership are critical components in sustaining a flourishing planet and society. This demonstrates the enormous impact women in leadership positions can have on crafting a sustainable and prosperous future. Women in leadership positions allow the convergence of varied viewpoints, new ideas, and inclusive decision-making processes, contributing considerably to creating resilient communities and sustainable development practices. In essence, the advancement of women's leadership emerges as a critical catalyst in guiding societies toward a more harmonious and successful coexistence (Balabantaray, 2023).

Progress toward a more equitable and affluent future necessitates collaborative efforts by governmental entities, organisations, local communities, and individuals. This joint effort is critical for methodically removing barriers, challenging established conventions, and creating an environment conducive to the blossoming of women's leadership (Sahoo and Jena, 2023). Recognizing that fundamental progress requires collective efforts, this call for unity underscores the importance of working together to break down barriers that limit women's leadership potential. By questioning established norms and promoting an inclusive and supportive environment, a variety of stakeholders can help create an environment where women are empowered to lead effectively. This collaborative approach drives it forward by becoming a cornerstone of creating an environment that recognizes and actively fosters women's leadership.

The impact of politicians' gender identity on policy outcomes has been extensively studied. Several studies have shown that greater female political representation has a significant impact on domestic and foreign policies. For example, countries with higher numbers of female MPs spend more on health and education (Bhalotra and Klotz-Figueras, 2014; Mavisakalyan, 2014). In addition, the presence of women in political decision-making has led to more legislation, increased spending on gender-specific needs (Chattopadhyay and Duflo, 2004; Clots-Figueras, 2011), and larger foreign aid packages provided by (Hicks et al., 2015). Women's participation in parliament has a significant impact on economic growth. According to Mirziyoyeva and Salahodjaev (2023), a 10% increase in female parliamentary representation leads to a 0.74% increase in GDP.

Gender differences in public attitudes towards climate change indicate that women are more aware of and concerned about climate change than men (McCright, 2010; McCright and

Dunlap, 2011). This difference can be attributed mainly to inequalities in beliefs and social expectations through social networking processes that emphasize gender social networking theories while women value climate change of action, such as cooperation and caution are given greater priority than the men (Beutel and Marini, 1995) wrote. Furthermore, gender differences in climate change concerns can be attributed to specific social roles played in society, where climate change is perceived to be closely related to the roles played.

Furthermore, climate change is predicted to manifest in gender-specific ways. Females are predicted to incur a disproportionate share of the costs associated with climate change due to “gendered labor and care duties, as well as social status” (Seager et al., 2016, p.13). This in-depth analysis of gender discrepancies in climate change beliefs and implications reveals the complicated interplay between societal expectations, roles, and the gendered characteristics of environmental concern and vulnerability.

### 3. METHODOLOGY AND DATA

#### 3.1. Econometric Methodology

The baseline model to explore the relationship among CO<sub>2</sub> emissions ( $\log CO_2$ ), women governance ( $WG$ ), economic development ( $\log PGDP$ ), fossil fuel energy consumption ( $FEC$ ) and renewable energy consumption ( $REC$ ) can be described as the following (Eq. 1):

$$\log CO_{2,it} = a_0 + a_1 WG_{i,t} + a_2 \log PGDP_{i,t} + a_3 FEC_{i,t} + a_4 REC_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad (1)$$

Where,  $a_0$  is an intercept;  $a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4$  are elasticity coefficients;  $\varepsilon$  is an error term,  $i$  is cross-sections,  $t$  is the time period.

It should be noted that economic fluctuations such as financial crises, natural disasters, geopolitical conflicts, etc., sometimes cause heteroskedasticity. In this case, the OLS estimator loses the estimation efficiency since it takes the variables' average values. A quantile regression model (Graham et al., 2015) can be used to cope with heteroskedasticity since quantile regression does not require a normal distribution of the data.

The panel quantile regression model is shown in equation (2).

$$Q_{\log CO_{2,i,t}}(\tau|x_{i,t}) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 WG_{i,t} + \beta_2 \log PGDP_{i,t} + \beta_3 FEC_{i,t} + \beta_4 REC_{i,t} + \varepsilon_{i,t} \quad (2)$$

Where  $Q_{\log HAP_{i,t}}(\tau|x_{i,t})$  is the quantile distribution of  $\log CO_{2,i,t}$  (explained variable), which is constrained by the position of the explanatory and control variables;  $\tau$  represents the quantile of each section (i).

We also assume that women's governance ( $WG$ ) on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions  $\log CO_2$  varies depending on the level of economic development ( $\log PGDP$ ) of European Union and Central Asian countries. This assumption leads us to apply a panel threshold regression model (Wang, 2015) to estimate the threshold relation of women's

governance ( $WG$ ) on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions ( $\log CO_2$ ). The panel threshold regression model can be represented by equation (3):

$$\begin{aligned} \log CO_{2,i,t} = & c_0 + c_1 WG_{i,t} * I(\log PGDP_{i,t} \leq \gamma) \\ & + c_2 WG_{i,t} * I(\log PGDP_{i,t} > \gamma) + c_3 \log PGDP_{i,t} \\ & + c_4 FEC_{i,t} + c_5 REC_{i,t} + u_i + \varepsilon_{i,t} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Where  $I()$  expresses the indicator function. The threshold regression model explores the effect of women's governance ( $WG$ ) on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions ( $\log CO_2$ ) with the changes in economic development regimes ( $\log PGDP$ ).  $c_0$  is intercept,  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4$  and  $c_5$  are elasticity coefficients,  $u_i$  is the individual effect,  $\varepsilon_{i,t}$  is the disturbance.

The important consideration for applying panel quantile and threshold regression models is testing for heteroskedasticity. To this end, we employ White's test (White, 1980) for heteroskedasticity. In order to check if cross-sectional dependence exists or not, we run the cross-sectional independence test proposed by Pesaran (2004). Moreover, as unit root tests, we perform IPS (Im et al., 2003) and the CIPS (Pesaran, 2004) unit root tests. Pedroni (2004) and Westerlund (2005) conduct panel cointegration tests to examine the long-run relations among the used variables. Furthermore, to control the endogeneity issue, we estimate equation (1) by means of the Hausman-Taylor (Amacurdy) estimator (Hausman and Taylor, 1981).

#### 3.2. Data

To empirically study the relationship among CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, women governance, economic development, fossil fuel energy consumption and renewable energy consumption, a balanced panel dataset, including 27 European Union<sup>1</sup> and 4 Central Asian<sup>2</sup> countries, is developed for 1996-2020 using annual data. The study uses CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, measured in metric tons per capita, as the dependent variable. In contrast, women governance, measured in women percentage in parliament, is employed as the core explanatory variable. Economic development, measured in gross domestic product per capita in USD, fossil fuel energy consumption, percentage of total energy consumption, renewable energy consumption, and percentage of total energy consumption are applied as control variables. The data for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, gross domestic product per capita, fossil fuel and renewable energy consumptions are obtained from World Development Indicators. In contrast, the data on women governance is derived from the United Nations Development Programme. Table 1 provides the definition and sources of the studied variables.

According to the descriptive statistics provided in Table 2, the average carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions per capita in the European Union and Central Asia during the period 1996-2020 amounted to 7.13 metric tons. Women's representation in parliament ( $WG$ ) averages 21.74%. The per capita gross domestic product ( $PGDP$ )

- 1 Austria, Belgium, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Netherlands, Poland, Portugal, Romania, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden.
- 2 Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan.



**Table 1: Definition and sources of the variables**

Variable types	Notation	Name	Definition	LOG transformation	Data source
Explained variable	CO <sub>2</sub>	CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	Carbon dioxide emissions, metric tons per capita	logCO <sub>2</sub>	World development indicators
Core explanatory variable	WG	Women governance	Share of seats in parliament, % held by women	-	United nations development programme
Control variables	PGDP	Economic development stage	GDP per capita, constant 2015 US\$	logPGDP	World development indicators
	FEC	Fossil fuel energy consumption	% of fossil fuel energy consumption in total energy consumption	-	World development indicators
	REC	Renewable energy consumption	% of renewable energy consumption in total energy consumption	-	World development indicators

**Table 2: Descriptive statistics of the studied variables**

Definition	CO <sub>2</sub>	WG	PGDP	FEC	REC
Mean	7.13	21.74	24799.73	72.30	20.45
Standard deviation	3.78	10.60	20835.74	22.34	18.93
Minimum	0.32	0	371.83	1.82	0
Maximum	25.60	47.30	112417.9	118.24	82.76
Observations	775	775	775	775	775

**Table 3: The results of White's test for heteroskedasticity**

White's test	
H0: Homoskedasticity	Chi2 (14) = 374.22
against Ha: Unrestricted heteroskedasticity	Prob >Chi2=0.00

For White's test for heteroskedasticity, we report the *P* value of Chi-square

is recorded at an average of 24,799.73 USD. Fossil fuel energy consumption (*FEC*) averages 72.30%, while renewable energy consumption (*REC*) constitutes an average of 20.45% of the total energy consumption.

## 4. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

Firstly, we conduct a test for heteroskedasticity, and the results are presented in Table 3. The null hypothesis posits that the data is homoscedastic, while the alternative hypothesis suggests the presence of heteroscedasticity. We reject the null hypothesis if the  $P < 0.05$ . Based on the results, we find evidence that heteroskedasticity exists in the data.

We proceed with the VAR (vector autoregressive) lag selection criteria. Table 4 displays the optimal lag orders determined by LR, FPE, AIC, SIC, and HQ criteria. Following the Schwarz information criterion (SIC), we select the optimal lag as 2.

Table 5 denotes the results of the cross-sectional dependence (CD) and unit root test (IPS, CIPS). The null hypothesis for the cross-section dependence (CD) test posits no cross-section dependence. While the null hypothesis for the (IPS, CIPS) unit root tests is the presence of unit root. The null hypothesis is rejected when the *P*-value is statistically significant at 1% and 5%. The results indicate the existence of cross-sectional dependence for all variables employed, namely *logCO<sub>2</sub>*, *WG*, *logPGDP*, *FEC*, *REC*. In terms of unit root tests, all variables are found to be integrated at the first differences, denoted as *I*(1), according to the CIPS unit root test.

**Table 4: The results of lag selection criteria**

Lag	LogL	LR	FPE	AIC	SIC	HQ
0	-8319.745	NA	1300163.	28.267	28.304	28.281
1	-1765.362	12975.23	0.000	6.096	6.319	6.183
2	-1642.689	240.763	0.000	5.764	6.173*	5.923*
3	-1601.891	79.379	0.000	5.711	6.305	5.942
4	-1566.015	69.194	0.000*	5.674*	6.454	5.978
5	-1545.986	38.290	0.000	5.690	6.657	6.067
6	-8911.874	30.703	16166457	30.787	31.939	31.236

\*Represents the criterion selecting the lag order. LR: Sequential modified LR statistic, FPE: Final prediction error, AIC: Akaike information criterion, SIC: Schwarz information criterion, HQ: Hanan-Quinn information criterion

**Table 5: Results of cross-section dependence tests and panel unit-root tests**

Variables	CD test	IPS test		CIPS test	
		Level	1 <sup>st</sup> difference	Level	1 <sup>st</sup> difference
logCO <sub>2</sub>	38.77***	5.838	-5.514***	-1.359	-4.278***
WG	70.50***	70.50***	1.14	-9.11***	-2.23**
logPGDP	88.29***	-3.817***	-3.498***	-2.525***	-3.241***
FEC	30.01***	6.39	-1.79**	-0.56	-3.39***
REC	46.31***	6.57	-6.43***	-1.96	-4.44***

\*\*\* and \*\* represent statistical significance at the levels of 1% and 5%, respectively. The null hypothesis of the cross-section dependence test is no cross-section dependence. Lag length are selected as 2, based on SIC criterion

**Table 6: Results of panel cointegration tests**

Pedroni test	Statistic	P-value
Modified Phillips-Perron t	2.480	0.00
Phillips-Perron t	-3.306	0.00
Augmented Dickey-Fuller t	-3.458	0.00
Westerlund test		
Variance ratio	-2.711	0.00

Lag length selection based on SIC criterion; \*\*\* $P < 0.01$

Based on the evidence indicating that all studied variables are integrated at the first differences, we proceed to panel cointegration tests. To this end, we employ both Pedroni and Westerlund cointegration tests. The null hypothesis for both cointegration tests posits no long-run relationship among the variables. We reject the null hypothesis when the *P*-value is lower than 0.05 ( $P < 0.05$ ), signifying statistical significance.

The results of cointegration tests, as presented in Table 6, indicate a long-run relationship among the variables under consideration,

$\log CO_2$ ,  $WG$ ,  $\log PGDP$ ,  $FEC$ , and  $REC$ . Consequently, we can proceed with model estimations.

**Table 7: Estimation results of baseline models**

Variables	Model (1)	Model (2)	Model (3)
	POLS	RE	FE
$WG$	-0.005***	-0.007***	-0.006***
$\log PGDP$	0.483***	0.191***	0.153***
$FEC$	0.003***	0.008***	0.009***
$REC$	-0.009***	-0.012***	-0.012***
Constant	-2.793***	-0.280	0.040
Hausman test			64.72***
$R^2$	0.67	0.34	0.28
Observations	775	775	775

\*\*\* represents statistical significance at the levels of 1%

Table 7 displays the outcomes of POLS, fixed effect, and random effect regressions. Following the Hausman test, we concentrate on the fixed effect results for interpretation purposes. Given that the impact coefficients of women governance ( $WG$ ) on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions ( $\log CO_2$ ) are nearly identical in POLS (-0.005) and fixed effect regression (-0.006), we may posit the absence of unobserved heterogeneity. In this instance, we proceed to estimate panel quantile and threshold regression models using these variables.

According to the estimated results provided in Table 8, women governance  $WG$  has a positive impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions ( $\log CO_2$ ) in lower quantiles (5%, 15%, 25%, 35%, 45%), whereas the relation is negative in higher quantiles (55%, 65%, 75%, 85%, 95%).

**Table 8: The estimated coefficients by the means of quantile regression: Full sample combining EU and CA**

Dependent variable	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	5%	15%	25%	35%	45%	55%	65%	75%	85%	95%
$\log CO_2$										
$WG$	0.004*** (0.001)	0.010*** (0.001)	0.005** (0.002)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.007*** (0.001)	-0.003 (0.003)	0.004*** (0.002)	-0.007*** (0.001)	-0.002 (0.003)	-0.019*** (0.001)
$\log PGDP$	0.598*** (0.010)	0.417*** (0.006)	0.485*** (0.011)	0.481*** (0.012)	0.498*** (0.007)	0.497*** (0.003)	0.430*** (0.009)	0.342*** (0.011)	0.404*** (0.009)	0.406*** (0.016)
$FEC$	0.005*** (0.000)	0.004*** (0.000)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.005*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.001)	0.006*** (0.002)	0.000 (0.000)	0.002 (0.002)	-0.004*** (0.001)	-0.002*** (0.000)
$REC$	-0.010*** (0.000)	-0.007*** (0.000)	-0.008*** (0.001)	-0.011*** (0.002)	-0.012*** (0.001)	-0.004 (0.003)	-0.011*** (0.001)	-0.008*** (0.002)	0.000 (0.002)	-0.005*** (0.000)
Individual fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mean acceptance rate	0.342	0.338	0.297	0.341	0.406	0.208	0.206	0.464	0.368	0.737
N	775	775	775	775	775	775	775	775	775	775

Standard errors in parentheses; \*\*\* and \*\* represent the significance at 1% and 5%, respectively

**Table 9: The estimated coefficients by the means of quantile regression: Divided sample by EU and CA**

Dependent variable	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
	5%	15%	25%	35%	45%	55%	65%	75%	85%	95%
$\log CO_2$										
$WG$	-0.006*** (0.000)	-0.005*** (0.000)	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.001*** (0.000)	-0.001*** (0.000)	-0.000** (0.000)	-0.003*** (0.000)	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.015*** (0.001)	-0.015*** (0.001)
$\log PGDP$	0.349*** (0.000)	0.371*** (0.002)	0.404*** (0.002)	0.405*** (0.001)	0.388*** (0.001)	0.363*** (0.004)	0.373*** (0.002)	0.345*** (0.003)	0.380*** (0.002)	0.480*** (0.021)
$FEC$	0.007*** (0.000)	0.007*** (0.000)	0.008*** (0.000)	0.008*** (0.000)	0.006*** (0.000)	0.004*** (0.000)	0.002*** (0.000)	0.001*** (0.000)	-0.004*** (0.000)	-0.002*** (0.000)
$REC$	-0.001*** (0.000)	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.001*** (0.000)	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.003*** (0.000)	-0.004*** (0.000)	-0.005*** (0.000)	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.001 (0.001)
$CA*WG$	-0.001*** (0.000)	-0.009*** (0.001)	-0.013*** (0.001)	-0.016*** (0.000)	-0.018*** (0.000)	-0.014*** (0.001)	-0.016*** (0.001)	-0.013*** (0.001)	0.001 (0.002)	0.002 (0.005)
$CA*\log PGDP$	0.036*** (0.000)	0.080*** (0.003)	0.180*** (0.011)	0.169*** (0.002)	0.164*** (0.001)	0.189*** (0.008)	0.153*** (0.004)	0.161*** (0.005)	0.085*** (0.019)	0.034** (0.017)
$CA*FEC$	-0.001*** (0.000)	-0.001*** (0.000)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.000)	-0.004*** (0.000)	-0.008*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.000)	-0.006*** (0.000)	-0.002* (0.001)	0.002*** (0.001)
$CA*REC$	-0.024*** (0.000)	-0.026*** (0.000)	-0.032*** (0.001)	-0.030*** (0.000)	-0.031*** (0.000)	-0.033*** (0.001)	-0.032*** (0.000)	-0.035*** (0.000)	-0.041*** (0.000)	-0.034*** (0.001)
Individual fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time fixed effects	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Mean acceptance rate	0.044	0.623	0.448	0.520	0.620	0.437	0.531	0.654	0.482	0.212
N	775	775	775	775	775	775	775	775	775	775

Standard errors in parentheses; \*\*\*, \*\* and \* represent the significance at the 1%, 5%, and 10% level, respectively. The coefficients of variables, non-interacted with dummies, refer to European Union countries. Central Asian countries refer to the corresponding dummies (CA)

95%) in European Union and Central Asian countries. This inconsistency prompts an exploration of the association between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions ( $\log CO_2$ ) and women governance ( $WG$ ) by dividing the sample separately into European Union and Central Asian countries. We use dummies to categorise the sample; the results are provided in Table 9.

According to Table 9, women governance ( $WG$ ) exhibits a negative association with CO<sub>2</sub> emissions ( $\log CO_2$ ) across all quantiles (5%, 15%, 25%, 35%, 45%, 55%, 65%, 75%, 85%, 95%) in

European Union. In Central Asian countries, women governance ( $WG$ ) negatively impacts CO<sub>2</sub> emissions ( $\log CO_2$ ) in quantiles, 5%, 15%, 25%, 35%, 45%, 55%, 65%, 75%, whereas there is no relation in quantiles 85% and 95%.

Considering our assumption about the varying importance of the stage of economic development ( $\log PGDP$ ) in examining women governance ( $WG$ ) effect on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions ( $\log CO_2$ ), we proceed with the estimation using a panel threshold regression model. In estimating the panel threshold regression model, we indicate economic development ( $\log PGDP$ ) as the threshold variable and women governance ( $WG$ ) as regime-dependent variable.

A threshold effect test is conducted as an initial step in constructing the panel threshold regression model, and the results are provided in Table 10. The results indicate that a panel threshold regression model should be developed, considering a single threshold point, as the double threshold test yields a non-significant P-value.

In the next step, we estimate threshold values for a single threshold, the results are provided in Table 11.

Based on the results obtained from the threshold regression model (Table 12), women governance ( $WG$ ) negatively impacts on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions ( $\log CO_2$ ) in European Union and Central Asia when the growth rate of economic development ( $\log PGDP$ ) stage is higher than 9.903%.

#### 4.1. Endogeneity

We proceed with our estimations while controlling for endogeneity issues. To this end, we apply Hausman-Taylor and Amacurdy estimators for error-component models. The results are presented in Table 13.

The results from Table 13 indicate that women governance negatively influences CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in both the European Union and Central Asia, validating the findings obtained in Table 7, particularly in high quantiles as presented in Table 8, and consistently across all quantiles as shown in Table 9.

**Table 10: The results of the threshold effect test for single and double threshold**

Threshold	RSS	MSE	Fstat	Prob	Crit10	Crit5	Crit1
Single	8.749	0.012	70.79	0.020	50.178	60.665	81.507
Double	8.444	0.011	27.05	0.400	46.859	54.486	72.871

**Table 11: The threshold values for the single threshold model**

Threshold variable	Number of thresholds	Threshold value	Confidence interval
$\log PGDP$	Single	9.903	[9.901, 9.911]

**Table 12: The results of the threshold regression model**

Variables	Coefficients
$WG * I(\log PGDP > 9.903)$	-0.001 (0.001)
$WG * I(\log PGDP > 9.903)$	-0.009*** (0.001)
$\log PGDP$	0.119*** (0.023)
$FEC$	0.010*** (0.001)
$REC$	-0.012*** (0.001)
Constant	0.318 (0.212)
R-square	0.606
N	775

Standard errors in parentheses; \*\*\* represents the significance at the 1% level

**Table 13: The results of the Hausman-Taylor and Amacurdy estimators**

Independent variables	Dependent variable: $\log CO_2$							
	Model 1 Hausman-Taylor	Model 2 Amacurdy	Model 3 Hausman-Taylor	Model 4 Amacurdy	Model 5 Hausman-Taylor	Model 6 Amacurdy	Model 7 Hausman-Taylor	Model 8 Amacurdy
Time-varying exogenous								
$WG$			-0.006***	-0.006***	-0.006***	-0.006***	-0.006***	-0.006***
$\log PGDP$	0.171***	0.171***			0.170***	0.171***	0.171***	0.171***
$FEC$	0.008***	0.008***	0.009***	0.008***			0.008***	0.008***
$REC$	-0.012***	-0.012***	-0.012***	-0.012***	-0.012***	-0.012***		
Time-varying endogenous								
$WG$	-0.006***	-0.006***						
$\log PGDP$			0.159***	0.171***				
$FEC$					0.009***	0.008***		
$REC$							-0.012***	-0.012***
Time-invariant exogenous								
$id$	-0.025**	-0.025**	-0.026**	-0.025**	-0.025**	-0.025**	-0.025**	-0.025**
Constant	0.299	0.303	0.415	0.303	0.293	0.303	0.304	0.303
N	775	775	775	775	775	775	775	775

\*\*\* and \*\* represent the significance at the 1% and 5% level, respectively

The study's findings strongly emphasise the pivotal role of women governance in mitigating environmental degradation, both within the European Union and Central Asia.

## 5. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

This study critically examines the intricate relationship between women's governance and environmental outcomes in the European Union and Central Asian countries from 1996 to 2020. Acknowledging the global discourse on sustainable development and environmental care, the research focuses on the urgent need for policy responses to climate change. It explores the unexplored mechanisms causing variations in outcomes among countries. The impact of politicians' gender identity on policy results is a central theme, particularly in the context of climate change initiatives.

The increase in women's representation in parliaments across Europe and Central Asia is highlighted as a significant trend, with a particular emphasis on its relationship with the adoption of stringent climate change legislation and decreased carbon dioxide emissions. The study of literature emphasises women's critical role in sustainability, recognising their efforts to break down obstacles, push legislative reforms, and spearhead good environmental advances. Despite their underrepresentation, women contribute greatly to renewable energy technology and conservation efforts.

This study's econometric methodology tries to decipher the complex relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, women's governance, economic development, fossil fuel energy use, and renewable energy consumption. Several major findings emerge from the investigation. First, the presence of heteroskedasticity in the dataset shows varying variability. The optimal lag selection criteria establish a lag of 2 based on several variables, laying the groundwork for the forthcoming assessments. All variables show cross-sectional dependence and unit root tests reveal that all variables are integrated at the first differences, emphasizing their dynamic nature. Cointegration experiments show a long-run link between CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, women's governance, economic progress, and fossil fuel and renewable energy usage. When it comes to regression outcomes, fixed effect regressions consistently produce negative results.

Quantile regression analysis adds granularity, demonstrating that women's governance has a beneficial impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in lower quantiles but a negative impact in higher quantiles. Threshold regression refines our understanding by demonstrating that when the economic development growth rate exceeds 9.903%, women's governance has a negative impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The study applies the Hausman-Taylor and Amacurdy estimators to compensate for endogeneity concerns in order to assure the robustness of these findings. The findings repeatedly confirm that women's governance has a negative impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, lending credence to the idea that gender-inclusive decision-making procedures might play an important role in preventing environmental deterioration.

The study's finding that women's governance has a negative impact on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions gives a unique potential for revolutionary policy

innovation. Governments and environmental organizations can use this knowledge to restructure existing environmental policies or create new ones through gender-inclusive decision-making procedures. The constant trend of female leaders favouring ecologically sustainable measures suggests unrealised potential. Policymakers are ready to capitalise on this tendency, increasing the rigour of climate change regulations and setting ambitious targets for carbon reduction and increased use of renewable energy sources. The positive impact of women's governance on CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in lower quantiles suggests a sector-specific approach. Based on this discovery, efforts might be concentrated to improve sustainability practices in sectors where women leaders have shown success.

Furthermore, the commitment of women leaders to environmental protection opens the door to the creation and advocacy of comprehensive environmental education and awareness programs. Using the influence of female politicians, these programs play an important role in advocating and teaching sustainable practices at the individual and societal levels the threshold regression model highlights the nuanced nature of the relationship, suggesting that when economic growth crosses a certain threshold you can apply this knowledge in a way that is. This includes ensuring that development policies are long-term and consistent with climate and environmental goals. Policy makers can reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by deliberately exploiting the observed negative effects of female governance.

Finally, this study reaffirms the important role of women in governance and leadership in promoting sustainable development and environmental protection. Women's political participation is associated with more effective climate change policy advocating inclusive governance to address global concerns. The study findings provide useful insights into the complex relationship between gender representation in government and environmental outcomes, and encourage the exploration of those dynamics revive it for the benefit of present and future generations.

## REFERENCES

- Anwar, N., Mahmood, N.H.N., Yusliza, M.Y., Ramayah, T., Faeczah, J.N., Khalid, W. (2020), Green human resource management for organisational citizenship behaviour towards the environment and environmental performance on a university campus. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 256, 120401.
- Balabantaray, S.R. (2023), Women's leadership and sustainable environmental initiatives: A macroscopic investigation from ecofeminism framework. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, 4(4), 1039-1046.
- Bannò, M., Filippi, E., Trento, S. (2021), Women in top echelon positions and their effects on sustainability: A review, synthesis and future research agenda. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 27(1), 181-251.
- Beutel, A.M., Marini, M.M. (1995), Gender and values. *American Sociological Review*, 60, 436-448.
- Bhalotra, S., Clots-Figueras, I. (2014), Health and the political agency of women. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 6(2), 164-197.
- Chattopadhyay, R., Duflo, E. (2004), Women as policy makers: Evidence from a randomized policy experiment in India. *Econometrica*, 72(5),



1409-1443.

- Clots-Figueras, I. (2011), Women in politics: Evidence from the Indian States. *Journal of Public Economics*, 95(7-8), 664-690.
- Graham, B.S., Hahn, J., Poirier, A., Powell, J.L. (2015), *Quantile Regression with Panel Data* (No. W21034). Cambridge: National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Hausman, J.A., Taylor, W.E. (1981), Panel data and unobservable individual effects. *Econometrica*, 49, 1377-1398.
- Hicks, D.L., Hicks, J.H., Maldonado, B. (2015), Are female politicians more responsive to international crises? *Applied Economics Letters*, 22(6), 493-498.
- Im, K.S., Pesaran, M.H., Shin, Y. (2003), Testing for unit roots in heterogeneous panels. *Journal of Econometrics*, 115(1), 53-74.
- James, R., Gibbs, B., Whitford, L., Leisher, C., Konia, R., Butt, N. (2021), Conservation and natural resource management: Where are all the women? *Oryx*, 55(6), 860-867.
- Lv, Z., Jiang, F., Xu, T. (2022), Female parliamentarians and environment nexus: The neglected role of governance quality. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 184, 122022.
- Mavisakalyan, A. (2014), Women in cabinet and public health spending: Evidence across countries. *Economics of Governance*, 15, 281-304.
- Mavisakalyan, A., Tarverdi, Y. (2019), Gender and climate change: Do female parliamentarians make difference? *European Journal of Political Economy*, 56, 151-164.
- McCright, A.M. (2010), The effects of gender on climate change knowledge and concern in the American public. *Population and Environment*, 32, 66-87.
- McCright, A.M., Dunlap, R.E. (2011), Cool dudes: The denial of climate change among conservative white males in the United States. *Global Environmental Change*, 21(4), 1163-1172.
- Mirziyoyeva, Z., Salahodjaev, R. (2023), Does representation of women in parliament promote economic growth? Considering evidence from Europe and Central Asia. *Frontiers in Political Science*, 5, 1120287.
- Pedroni, P. (2004), Panel cointegration: Asymptotic and finite sample properties of pooled time series tests with an application to the PPP hypothesis. *Econometric Theory*, 20(3), 597-625.
- Pesaran, M.H. (2004), General Diagnostic Tests for Cross Section Dependence in Panels. Available from: <https://ssrn 572504>
- Ramstetter, L., Habersack, F. (2019), Do women make a difference? Analysing environmental attitudes and actions of Members of the European Parliament. *Environmental Politics*, 29(6), 1063-1084.
- Sahoo, D.K., Jena, A. (2023), Panchayat: Casteism and mockery in the name of humour. *Economic and Political Weekly*, 58(17), 65-66.
- Salamon, H. (2022), The effect of women's parliamentary participation on renewable energy policy outcomes. *European Journal of Political Research*, 62(1), 174-196.
- Seager, J., Bechtel, J., Bock, S., Dankelman, I.E.M. (2016), *Global Gender and Environment Outlook*. Nairobi, Kenya: United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).
- Stern, N. (2008), The economics of climate change. *American Economic Review*, 98(2), 1-37.
- UN Women, UNIDO. (2023), Gender Equality and the Sustainable Energy Transition. Available from: <https://www.unwomen.org/sites/default/files/2023-05/gender-equality-in-the-sustainable-energy-transition-en.pdf>
- UN Women. (2023), Women in Power in 2023: New Data Shows Progress but Wide Regional Gaps. UN Women-Europe and Central Asia. Available from: <https://eca.unwomen.org/en/stories/news/2023/03/women-in-power-in-2023-new-data-shows-progress-but-wide-regional-gaps> [Last accessed on 2023 Dec 05].
- UNDP. (2020), More Women are Entering Politics in Europe and Central Asia, but political Parity Remains a Distant Goal. Available from: <https://www.undp.org/geneva/press-releases/more-women-are-entering-politics-europe-and-central-asia-political-parity-remains-distant-goal> [Last accessed on 2023 Dec 05].
- UNDP. (2023), What does Gender Equality Have to do with Climate Change? UNDP Climate Promise. Available from: <https://climatepromise.undp.org/news-and-stories/what-does-gender-equality-have-to-do-with-climate-change#:~:text=giving%20women%20increased%20access%20to,benefit%20the%20needs%20of%20women> [Last accessed on 2023 Dec 01].
- Wang, Q. (2015), Fixed-effect panel threshold model using Stata. *The Stata Journal*, 15(1), 121-134.
- Westerlund, J. (2005), New simple tests for panel cointegration. *Econometric Reviews*, 24(3), 297-316.
- White, H. (1980), A heteroskedasticity-consistent covariance matrix estimator and a direct test for heteroskedasticity. *Econometrica*, 48, 817-838.
- World Bank. (2022), Proportion of Seats Held by Women in National Parliaments (%)-Europe and Central Asia. World Bank Open Data. Available from: <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SG.GEN.PARL.ZS?locations=Z7> [Last accessed on 2023 Dec 05].